Annexure 1.1 (Referred to in paragraphs 1.1 and 1.15)

Statement showing summarised financial position and working results of Government companies and Statutory corporations as per their latest finalised financial statements/ accounts

(Figures in columns (5) to (12) are ₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Sector /Name of the Company	Period of accounts	Year in which accounts finalised	Paid-up capital	Loans outstanding at the end of the year	Accumulated Profit (+)/ Loss(-)	Turnover	Net Profit(+) / Loss (-)	Net Impact of Audit Comments	Capital employed @	Return on capital employed	Percentage of return on capital employed	Manpower
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
	ORKING GOVERNMENT COMPA	NIES	1					T		Τ	1		
AGRI	CULTURE AND ALLIED												
1	Uttar Pradesh (Madhya) GannaBeejEvamVikas Nigam Limited	2014-15	2015-16	25.00	2.48	-0.85	0.13	-0.19	-	1.88	-0.19	-10.11	9
2	Uttar Pradesh (Paschim) GannaBeejEvamVikas Nigam Limited	2013-14	2014-15	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.12	-	1.32	-0.12	-9.09	7
3	Uttar Pradesh BeejVikas Nigam	2011-12	2014-15	6.92	0.00	92.66	300.11	11.46	(DP) 3.00	116.78	15.62	13.38	357
4	Uttar Pradesh BhumiSudhar Nigam	2008-09	2012-13	1.50	0.00	0.23	1.86	-0.13	(DL) 34.27	23.59	-0.13	-0.55	950
5	Uttar Pradesh MatsyaVikas Nigam Limited	2008-09	2013-14	1.07	0.00	0.55	2.76	0.63	under process	6.25	0.63	10.08	-
6	U.P. Projects Corporation Limited	2012-13	2014-15	6.4	0.00	50.82	507.46	9.86	(DP) 3.53	57.22	9.98	17.44	622
7	U. P. State Agro Industrial Corporation Limited	2009-10	2014-15	40.00	5.00	-26.35	951.95	20.74	(DP) 3.95	40.01	25.77	64.41	648
Secto	r Wise Total			81.53	7.48	117.06	1764.27	42.25	0.00	247.05	51.56	20.87	2593
FINA	NCE												
8	The Pradeshiya Industrial and Investment Corporation of U. P. Limited	2012-13	2014-15	135.58	151.42	-350.84	6.18	2.56	-	-107.16	9.57	-8.93	200
9	Uttar Pradesh AlpsankhyakVittyaAvamVika s Nigam Limited	1995-96	2010-11	14.23	7.53	0.12	1.14	0.24	(DP) 5.29	20.94	0.69	3.30	85
10	Uttar Pradesh Pichhara Varg Vitta Evam Vikas Nigam Limited	2011-12	2014-15	8.10	52.83	7.17	2.94	-0.01	(IL) 23.75	62.71	2.41	3.84	14
11	Uttar Pradesh Scheduled Castes Finance and Development Corporation Limited	2011-12	2014-15	216.99	59.32	92.71	31.29	12.49	(DP) 15.67	322.91	14.40	4.46	297

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
12	Uttar Pradesh State Industrial Development Corporation Limited	2010-11	2014-15	24.07	1.98	210.96	95.66	70.94	(DP) 8.03	407.84	70.94	17.40	604
Secto	r Wise Total			398.97	273.08	-39.88	137.21	86.22	0.00	707.24	98.01	13.86	1200
INFR	ASTRUCTURE												
13	Uttar Pradesh Police Avas Nigam Limited	2013-14	2014-15	3.00	-	10.16	96.38	1.76	(IL) 0.02	13.16	1.76	13.40	151
14	Uttar Pradesh RajkiyaNirman Nigam Limited	2010-11	2012-13	1.00	-	567.58	3680.72	232.49	(DP) 26.73	568.59	232.91	40.96	3209
15	U. P. State Construction and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (Formerly Uttar Pradesh SamajKalyanNirman Nigam Limited)	2013-14	2014-15	0.15	-	62.75	483.85	6.23	-	62.9	6.243	9.93	557
16	Uttar Pradesh State Bridge Corporation Limited	2012-13	2014-15	15.00	-	122.25	973.43	36.39	(IP) 0.04	158.08	36.47	23.07	5211
17	Lucknow Metro Rail Corporation Limited	2013-14	2014-15	0.05	35.00	-	-	-0.88	-	46.04	-0.88	-1.91	33
18	Noida Metro Rail Corporation Limited	Accounts not finalized	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Secto	r Wise Total			19.20	35.00	762.74	5234.38	275.99	0.00	848.77	276.51	32.58	9163
MANU	UFACTURING												
19	AlmoraMagnesite Limited (company under Section 139(5) and 139 (7) of the Act)	2014-15	2015-16	2.00		0.98	28.85	0.31	under process	2.98	0.44	14.77	-
20	Shreetron India Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Electronics Corporation Limited)	2014-15	2015-16	7.22	2.63	4.45	12.60	0.27	under process	14.30	0.27	1.89	7
21	Uptron India Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Electronics Corporation Limited)	1995-96	1997-98	53.16	9.70	-196.73	97.15	-32.12	-	52.06	-4.06	-7.80	
22	UptronPowertronics Ltd. (subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Electronics Corporation Ltd.)	2014-15	2015-16	4.07	6.22	-3.22	30.2	1.45	under process	7.07	1.45	20.51	26
23	Uttar Pradesh Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Company Limited	2009-10	2012-13	1.10	0.00	-26.59	0.33	-8.53	-	-14.02	-8.27	58.99	219
24	Uttar Pradesh Electronics Corporation Limited.	2013-14	2014-15	87.66	113.16	3.00	21.69	0.48	-	207.70	0.48	0.23	28

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
25	Uttar Pradesh RajyaChiniEvamGannaVikas Nigam Limited	2011-12	2014-15	880.13	-	-793.34	0.00	-1.41	(IL) 0.51	90.83	-1.40	-1.54	14
26	Uttar Pradesh Small Industries Corporation Limited	2003-04	2011-12	5.96	10.24	-17.06	15.75	-0.36	(DL) 6.67	8.96	0.18	2.01	-
27	Uttar Pradesh State Handloom Corporation Limited	1997-98	2014-15	47.07	123.28	-57.33	27.12	-9.50	-	47.56	-8.19	-17.22	211
28	Uttar Pradesh State Leather Development and Marketing Corporation Limited	2000-01	2002-03	573.94	1.91	-6.85	3.60	0.26	-	4.81	0.31	6.44	1
29	Uttar Pradesh State Spinning Company Limited	2013-14	2014-15	93.24	115.84	-224.66	36.93	-8.46	(IL)5.37	29.47	-8.45	-28.67	890
30	Uttar Pradesh State Sugar Corporation Limited	2010-11	2014-15	1103.71	31.20	-86.47	122.93	-22.79	(IL) 0.89	1027.47	-8.82	-0.86	135
31	Uttar Pradesh State Yarn Company Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Textile Corporation Limited)	2013-14	2015-16	31.91	60.23	-187.5	0.00	-9.81	(IL) 2.83	-14.70	-6.62	45.03	3
Secto	r Wise Total			2891.17	474.41	-1591.32	397.15	-90.21	0.00	1464.49	-42.68	-2.91	1534
POWI	ER												
32	DakshinanchalVidyutVitranNi gam Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited)	2013-14	2015-16	1946.38	1134.33	-19183.16	4843.73	-5521.00	(IL) 33.08	3537.27	-3994.07	-112.91	5243
33	Kanpur Electricity Supply Company Limited	2013-14	2015-16	163.15	3196.37	-3320.86	1545.24	-674	-	-90.80	-379.66	418.13	1721
34	MadhyanchalVidyutVitranNig am Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited)	2013-14	2015-16	2780.44	1800.56	-11733.15	4495.74	-3262.77	(DL) 33.78	3575.40	-2440.12	-68.25	8155
35	PaschimanchalVidyutVitranN igam Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited)	2013-14	2015-16	2478.2	9681.51	-10754.43	8926.72	-3171.51	(IL) 0.39	3434.15	-2190.59	-63.79	5952
36	PurvanchalVidyutVitranNiga m Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited)	2013-14	2015-16	3086.12	1855.68	-15110.38	4960.65	-4094.62	-	2547.86	-2856.51	-112.11	16390
37	Sonebhadra Power Generation Company Limited	2011-12	2015-16	0.07	0.00	-3.68	0.04	-0.71	-	-3.61	-0.71	19.67	-
38	UCM Coal Company Limited	2013-14	2014-15	0.16	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.83	0.00	0.00	-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
39	UPSIDC Power Company Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Industrial Development Corporation Limited)	2012-13	2014-15	0.05	0.00	-0.24	0.00	-0.02	-	-0.19	-0.02	10.53	0
40	Uttar Pradesh JalVidyut Nigam Limited	2012-13	2014-15	431.75	149.86	-364.92	84.34	3.52	(DL) 15.07	245.13	26.05	10.63	566
41	Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited	2013-14	2014-15	35690.22	50597.26	-34679.69	36521.05	-1489.77	(IL) 18.76	55529.32	-1338.84	-2.41	<u>1585</u>
42	Uttar Pradesh Power Transmission Corporation Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited)	2013-14	2014-15	5402.51	6999.85	-802.47	1655.87	321.39	(DP) 10.72	12703.08	825.81	6.50	5852
43	Uttar Pradesh RajyaVidyutUtpadan Nigam Limited	2013-14	2014-15	7840.99	11002.37	-118.29	7919.53	218.08	-	18297.04	903.84	4.94	7708
44	JawaharVidyutUtpadan Nigam Limited	2009-10	2011-12	0.05	0.00	-1.23	-	-1.23	-	-1.18	-1.23	104.24	-
45	Yamuna Power Generation Corporation Limited (Incorporated w.e.f. 20-04-10)	2010-11	2015-16	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	-1.21	under process	0.05	-1.21	-2420.00	
Sector	r Wise Total			59820.14	86418.29	-96072.49	70952.91	-17673.85	0.00	99774.35	-11447.26	-11.47	53172
SERV													
46	Uttar Pradesh Development Systems Corporation Limited	2012-13	2015-16	1.00	-	3.53	5.91	0.5	(IP) 0.77	4.53	0.50	11.04	81
47	U. P. Handicraft Development and Marketing Corporation Limited Handicraft Marketing Limited Limited	2006-07	2014-15	7.24	7.44	21.92	7.48	-0.70	-	7.51	-0.70	-9.32	70
48	Uttar Pradesh Food and Essential Commodities Corporation Limited	2006-07	2014-15	5.00	13.47	19.44	797.27	0.23	(DP) 0.2	80.29	4.87	6.07	726
49	Uttar Pradesh State Tourism Development Corporation Limited	2012-13	2014-15	18.60	1.15	-13.34	31.57	-0.85	(IL)2.39	6.67	-0.83	-12.44	510
	r Wise Total			31.84	22.06	31.55	842.23	-0.82	0.00	99.00	3.84	3.88	1387
MISC	CELLENEOUS												
50	Uttar Pradesh MahilaKalyan Nigam Limited	2013-14	2014-15	5.19	-	2.07	0.60	0.36	under process	8.52	0.36	4.23	24

51	U. P.PurvaSainikKalyan Nigam Limited	2012-13	2014-15	0.43	-	98.5	158.65	17.34	(IP) 0.19	98.93	17.34	17.53	140
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
52	Uttar Pradesh WaqfVikas Nigam Limited	1998-99	2007-08	3.50	-	0.02	0.28	-	(IL) 0.002	2.11	-	-	24
53	Lucknow City Transport Services Limited	Accounts not finalised			-							1	-
54	Meerut City Transport Services Limited	2010-11	2012-13	0.05	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	(IL) 0.09	0.40	0.00	1	448
55	Allahabad City Transport Services Limited	Accounts not finalised			6.51								505
56	Agra Mathura City Transport Services Limited	Accounts not finalised			25.65								816
57	Kanpur City Transport Services Limited (Incorporated w.e.f. 28-04-10)	Accounts not finalised											
58	Varanasi City Transport Services Limited (Incorporated w.e.f.15-06-10)	Accounts not finalised											
Secto	r Wise Total			9.17	32.16	100.59	159.53	17.70	0.00	109.96	17.70	16.09	1957
	A (All sector wise working rnment companies)			63252.02	87262.48	-96691.76	79487.68	-17342.72	0.00	103250.86	-11042.32	•	71006
B. St	atutory corporations												
AGRI	CULTURE & ALLIED		T							T			
1	Uttar Pradesh State Warehousing Corporation	2012-13	2014-15	11.17	-	372.48	261.13	80.15	(IP) 35.75	386.21	80.15	20.75	1375
Secto	r Wise Total			11.17	0.00	372.48	261.13	80.15	0.00	386.21	80.15	20.75	1375
FINA			Т	T						T			
2	Uttar Pradesh Financial Corporation	2012-13	2015-16	179.28	648.02	-898.38	22.22	17.38	under process	864.41	17.40	2.01	697
	r Wise Total			179.28	648.02	-898.38	22.22	17.38	0.00	864.41	17.40	2.01	697
INFR	ASTRUCTURE								1				
3	Uttar Pradesh AvasEvamVikasParishad	2013-14	2014-15	0.00	-	4664.24	732.98	495.11	(DP) 267.31	4664.24	495.11	10.62	4025
4	Uttar Pradesh Jal Nigam	2010-11	2012-13	0.00	270.03	-63.52	655.51	20.10	-	9741.13	59.80	0.61	16357
	r Wise Total			0.00	270.03	4600.72	1388.49	515.21	0.00	14405.37	554.91	3.85	20382
SERV	SERVICE												
5	Uttar Pradesh State Road	2013-14	2014-15	418.07	292.86	-1319.25	2934.63	-131.54	(IL) 10.00	-438.60	-100.99	23.03	27172

	1												
6	Uttar Pradesh Government Employees Welfare Corporation	2011-12	2014-15	0.00	9.51	30.11	637.96	22.05	(DP) 2.73	45.97	22.88	49.77	889
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Secto	r Wise Total			418.07	302.37	-1289.14	3572.59	-109.49	0.00	-392.63	-78.11	19.89	28061
MISO	CELLANEOUS												
7	Uttar Pradesh Forest Corporation*	2013-14	2014-15	1438.06	15.00	1438.06	406.31	56.76	(DP) 1.29	1453.06	56.76	3.91	2371
Secto	r Wise Total			1438.06	15.00	1438.06	406.31	56.76	0.00	1453.06	56.76	3.91	2371
	B (All sector wise working tory corporations)			2046.58	1235.42	4223.74	5650.74	560.01	0.00	16716.42	631.11	3.78	52886
Gran	d Total (A + B)			65298.60	88497.90	-92468.02	85138.42	-16782.71	0.00	119967.28	-10411.21	-8.68	123892
C. N	Non-working Governmen	nt compa	nies										
	ICULTURE AND ALLIED												
1	Command Area Poultry Development Corporation Limited (company under Section 139(5) and 139 (7) of the Act)	1994-95	-	0.24	0.00	-	0.96	0.01	-	-	0.01	-	
2	Uttar Pradesh (Poorva) GannaBeejEvamVikas Nigam Limited	2002-03 (UL from 01- 07-03)	2004-05	0.31	1.69	-0.55	0.04	-0.18	-	1.53	-0.14	-	19
3	Uttar Pradesh (RohilkhandTarai) GannaBeejEvamVikash Nigam Limited	2006-07 (UL from 01- 07-03)	2008-09	0.71	6.55	-8.01	0.11	-1.05	-	3.31	0.05	1.51	-
4	Uttar Pradesh PashudhanUdyog Nigam Limited	2010-11	2014-15	2.73	0.71	-7.59	0.45	0.099	under process	11.19	0.21	1.88	0
5	Uttar Pradesh Poultry and Livestock Specialties Limited	2009-10	2014-15	2.94	1.10	-4.00	0.015	-0.17	(IL) 0.31	0.04	-0.01	-25.00	0
6	Uttar Pradesh State Horticultural Produce Marketing & Processing Corporation Limited	1984-85	1994-95	1.90	1.22	-2.55	0.27	-0.67	-	80.72	-0.52	-	330
	r Wise Total			8.83	11.27	-22.70	1.85	-1.96	0.00	96.79	-0.40	-0.41	349
FINA													
7	Uplease Financial Services Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Electronics Corporation Limited)	1997-98	1998-99	1.05	4.15	-0.40	1.29	-0.40	-	5.34	0.14	2.62	-

	Uttar Pradesh Panchayati Raj									ı			
8	VittaEvamVikas Nigam Limited	1995-96	2012-13	1.46	-	-0.36	0.45	-0.16	under process	1.50	-0.16	-10.67	52
Secto	r Wise Total			2.51	4.15	-0.76	1.74	-0.56	0.00	6.84	-0.02	-0.29	52
INFR	ASTRUCTURE												
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
9	Uttar Pradesh Cement Corporation Limited	1995-96 (UL from 08- 02-1999)	1996-97	68.28	124.77	-425.99	113.01	-47.75	-	-239.80	-22.91	1	
10	Uttar Pradesh State Mineral Development Corporation Limited	2011-12	2013-14	59.43	19.74	-77.36	1.76	-0.27	-	-0.09	1.28	1	
11	Vindhyachal Abrasives Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Mineral Development Corporation Limited)	1987-88 (UL from 28- 11-2002)	1995-96	-	0.84	-0.11	-	-0.12	-	0.01	-0.11	-	-
Secto	r Wise Total			127.71	145.35	-503.46	114.77	-48.14	0.00	-239.88	-21.74		0
MAN	UFACTURING	•											
12	Auto Tractors Limited	1991-92 (UL from 14- 02-2003)	1995-96	7.50	0.38	-	6.31	0.11	-	11.14	0.37	3.32	-
13	BhadohiWoollens Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Textile Corporation Ltd.)	1994-95 (UL from 20- 02-96)	-	3.76	0.00	-11.95	0.27	-1.66	-	-0.49	0.85	-173.47	-
14	Chhata Sugar Company Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Sugar Corporation Limited)	2012-13	2014-15		0.23	-102.18	0.00	-5.37	-	11.34	-3.62	-31.92	7
15	Continental Float Glass Limited	1997-98 (UL from 01- 04-2002)	2002-03	46.24	138.85	-	-	-	-	83.87	Company went into Liquidation (since inception)		-
16	Electronics and Computers (India) Limited (company under Section 139(5) and 139 (7) of the Act)	(UL from (14-07- 1981)	-	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
17	Ghatampur Sugar Company Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Sugar	2013-14	2014-15	8.95	0.00	-155.87	0.00	-1.66	-	-8.06	-1.17	14.52	13

	Corporation Limited												
	Corporation Emitted												
	Kanpur Components Limited	(UL											
18	(Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh	from 10- 06-1996)	-	-	0.00	-	0.05	-	-	-	-	-	-
(1)	Electronics Corporation Ltd.) (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
(1)	Nandganj-Sihori Sugar	(3)	(4)	(3)	(0)	(1)	(0)	())	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
19	Company Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Sugar Corporation Limited)	2011-12	2014-15	256.80	7.69	-246.88	0.00	-1.69	-	257.23	-1.58	-	80
20	The Indian Turpentine and Rosin Company Limited	2010-11	2012-13	0.22	7.21	-32.93	0.03	-0.60	-	-25.54	-0.50	-	-
21	Uttar Pradesh Abscott Private Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Small Industries Corporation Limited)	1975-76 (UL from 19- 04-1996)		0.05	0.00	-	-	-0.02	-	0.12	-0.01	-	-
22	Uttar Pradesh Carbide and Chemicals Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Mineral Development Corporation Limited)	1992-93 (UL from 19- 02-94)	-	6.58	11.02	-35.32	2.26	-6.18	-	-18.45	-0.51	-	
23	Uttar Pradesh Instruments Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Industrial Development Corporation Limited)	2001-02	2005-06	1.93	17.04	-38.75	0.16	-0.29	1	0.35	-0.27	1	259
24	Uttar Pradesh Plant Protection Appliances (Private) Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Small Industries Corporation Limited)	1974-75 (UL from 11/2003)	1984-85	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.04	-0.01	1	-0.34	-0.01	1	ı
25	Uttar Pradesh State Brassware Corporation Limited	1997-98	2007-08	5.38	1.94	-6.04	0.53	2.39	-	3.59	2.51	69.92	
26	Uttar Pradesh State Textile Corporation Limited	2013-14	2014-15	160.79	0.00	-494.82	0.00	-7.63	(IP) 0.82	-333.76	-0.82	0.25	0
27	Uttar Pradesh Tyre and Tubes Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Industrial Development Corporation Limited)	1992-93 (UL from 09- 01-1996)	-	1.83	0.00	-9.96	1.38	-2.17	-	-4.06	2.10	-	-
	r Wise Total		500.04	184.36	-1134.69	11.03	-24.78	0.00	-23.06	-2.66	-	359	
SERV		1000.00	2007.00	1.00	0.05	0.25	2.01	0.00		0.02	0.00		
28	Agra MandalVikas Nigam	1988-89	2007-08	1.00	0.05	-0.35	3.91	-0.09	-	0.92	-0.09	-	

	Limited												
29	Allahabad MandalVikas Nigam Limited	1983-84	1992-93	0.55	0.66	-0.11	2.74	-0.11	-	0.99	-0.10	-	-
30	Bareilly MandalVikas Nigam Limited	1988-89	2011-12	1.00	0.00	-1.52	3.33	-0.39	-	4.63	-0.27	-	-
31	Gorakhpur MandalVikas Nigam Limited	1988-89	2013-14	1.26	0.92	-1.59	0.25	-0.07	-	0.59	-0.07	1	0
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
32	LucknowMandaliyaVikas Nigam Limited	1981-82	1992-93	0.50	0.86	1.49	1.70	0.01	-	0.61	0.01	1.64	-
33	Meerut MandalVikas Nigam Limited	2008-09	2010-11	1.00	0.00	-1.50	-	-0.03	-	-0.01	-0.03	-	-
34	Moradabad MandalVikas Nigam Limited	1991-92	2011-12	0.25	0.65	-0.78	0.85	-0.19	-	0.12	-0.08	-	
35	TaraiAnusuchitJanjatiVikas Nigam Limited	1982-83	1990-91	0.25	1.25	-	0.01	-0.04	-	0.70	-0.04	-	
36	Uttar Pradesh BundelkhandVikas Nigam Limited	2008-09	2010-11	1.23	0.05	-1.57	0.20	0.25	-	-0.29	0.25	-	0
37	Uttar Pradesh Chalchitra Nigam Limited	2009-10	2011-12	8.18	2.47	-14.80	0.12	-0.38	(IL) 0.14	-4.14	0.02	-	0
38	Uttar Pradesh PoorvanchalVikas Nigam Limited	1987-88	1994-95	1.15	0.35	-1.08	1.30	-0.14	-	0.19	-0.14	-	-
39	Varanasi MandalVikas Nigam Limited	1987-88	1993-94	0.70	0.00	-0.26	1.47	-0.03	-	0.88	-0.03	-	-
Secto	Sector Wise Total			17.07	7.26	-22.07	15.88	-1.21	0.00	5.19	-0.57	-10.98	0
	C (All sector wise working Government anies)			656.16	352.39	-1683.68	145.27	-76.65	0.00	-154.12	-25.39	-	760
Gran	d Total (A + B + C)			65954.76	88850.29	-94151.70	85283.68	-16859.36	0.00	119813.16	-10436.60	-	124652

Source: Information furnished by the PSUs

Note 1: Above includes Companies under Section 139 (5) and 139 (7) of the Companies Act, 2013 at Sl. no. A-19, C-1 and C-16.

Note 2: Paid up capital includes share application money.

Note 3: IL indicates increase in loss, DL indicates decrease in loss, IP indicates increase in profit and DP indicates decrease in profit.

Note 4: Net Impact of audit comments includes the net impact of commentsof Statutory Auditor and CAG.

Note 5: Return on capital employed has been worked out by adding profit and interest charged to profit and loss account.

Note 6: Loans outstanding at the end of year represents long term loans only.

Note 7: UL indicates under liquidation.

[@] Capital employed represents Shareholders funds and long term borrowings.

^{*} The audit of Accounts for the period 1999-2000 to 2007-08 was conducted by Local Audit and Audit for the year 2008-09 was entrusted to this Office as per order of the Forest Corporation dated 31 July 2010 after doing necessary amendments in the U. P. Forest Corporation Act, 1974.

Annexure 1.2 (Referred to in paragraph 1.11)

Statement showing investments made by the State Government in PSUs whose accounts are in arrears

(Figures in columns 4 & 6 to 9 are ₹ in crore)

Sl.	Name of the Public Sector	Year up	Paid up	Period of	Investme	nt made l	by State G	e₹in crore) overnment
No.	Undertaking	to which accounts	capital	accounts pending	during th	-	r which ac rrears	counts are
		finalised		finalisation	Equity	Loans	Grants	Subsidies
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Α.	Working Government companies							
1	U. P.StateAgro Industrial Corporation Limited	2009-10	40.00	2010-11 to 2014-15		66.00		
2	Uttar Pradesh BhumiSudhar Nigam	2008-09	1.50	2009-10 to 2014-15			200.00	
3	Uttar Pradesh Scheduled Castes Finance and Development Corporation Limited	2011-12	216.99	2012-13 to 2014-15				49.55
4	Uttar Pradesh Electronics Corporation Limited.	2013-14	87.66	2014-15	25.41		2.35	
5	Uttar Pradesh State Spinning Company Ltd.	2013-14	93.24	2014-15		6.14		
6	Uttar Pradesh State Yarn Company Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh State Textile Corporation Limited)	2013- 14	31.91	2014-15		1.10		
7	Uttar Pradesh State Tourism Development Corporation Limited	2012-13	18.60	2013-14 to 2014-15	14.00			
8	Lucknow Metro Rail CorporationLimited	2013-14	0.05	2014-15	60.00	35.00	173.00	
9	U. P.RajyaVidyutUtapadan Nigam Limited	2013-14	7840.99	2014-15	351.90			
10	U. P. Handicraft & Marketing Development Corporation Limited (Formerly U. P. Export Corporation Limited)	2006-07	7.24	2007-08 to 2014-15			5.30	
11	Uttar Pradesh Power CorporationLimited	2013-14	35690.22	2014-15	9189.65			
12	PaschimanchalVidyutVitranNigam Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited)	2013-14	2478.20	2014-15				2224.75
13	Kanpur Electricity Supply Company Limited	2013-14	163.15	2014-15				159.12
14	Uttar Pradesh Power Transmission Corporation Limited (Subsidiary of Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited)	2013-14	5402.51	2014-15	1823.89			
15	Uttar Pradesh Development Systems Corporation Limited	2012-13	1.00	2013-14 to 2014- 15			2.00	
16	Uttar Pradesh Food & Essential Commodities Corporation Limited	2006-07	5.00	2007-08 to 2014-15		30.00		

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
17	Meerut City Transport Services Limited	2010-11	0.05	2011-12 to 2014-15			3.95	
	Total A (Working Government companies)		52078.30		11464.85	138.24	386.59	2433.42
В.	Working Statutory corporat	ions						
1	Uttar Pradesh Jal Nigam	2010-11	0.00	2011-12 to 2014-15			1157.37	
	Total B (Working Statutory corporations)		0.00		0.00	0.00	1157.37	0.00
C.	Non-Working companies							
1	U. P. State Textile Corporation Limited	2013-14	160.79	2014-15		0.54		
	Total C (Non-Working companies)		160.79		0.00	0.54	0.00	0.00
	Grand Total (A+B+C)		52239.09		11464.85	138.78	1543.96	2433.42

Source: Information furnished by the PSUs

Annexure2.1.1
(Referred to in paragraph 2.1.1)
Statement showing installed and operating capacity of TPSs

Sl. No.	TPS	Capacity as on 1 April 2010 (in MW)	Capacity as on 31 March 2015 (in MW)	Capacity as on 31 March 2015 (in MW)
		Installed	Installed	Operating
1	Obra A	382	288	100
2	Obra B	1000	1000	600
3	Anpara A	630	630	630
4	Anpara B	1000	1000	1000
5	Panki	210	210	210
6	Harduaganj	220	170	60
7	Harduaganj Extension	0	500	500
8	Parichha	220	220	110
9	Parichha Extension	420	420	420
10	Parichha Extension Stage 2	0	500	500
Total		4082	4938	4130

Source: Information provided by Company

Annexure 2.1.1A (Referred to in paragraph 2.1.1) Statement showing installed capacity of HTPS/ HTPS Extension

TPS	Unit No.	Installed Capacity as on 31 March 2015	Date of Commercial Operation	Present status
HTPS	5	60 MW	14 May 1977	Operating
	7	110 MW	August 1978	Under R & M since
				June 2009
HTPS	8	250 MW	1 February 2012	Operating
Extension	9	250 MW	10 October 2013	Operating
Total		670 MW		

Source: Information provided by Company

Annexure 2.1.2 (Referred to in paragraph 2.1.8)
Statement showing unit-wise generation during the period 2010-11 to 2014-15

	Gener	ation of elect	cricity (MUs)	Rate of Energy	Amount
Year	Target	Actual	Shortfall (per cent)	charges (in paise/ KWh) as per tariff order 2012 and 2013	corresponding to shortfall in energy (₹ in crore)
			Unit 5		
2010-11	344	141	203 (59)	436	88.51
2011-12	194	176	18 (9)	566	10.19
2012-13	294	222	72 (24)	445.03	32.04
2013-14	315	94	221 (70)	546.97	120.88
2014-15	315	125	190 (60)	546.97	103.92
Total	1462	758	704 (48)		355.54
			Unit 8		
2011-12	311	180	131 (42)	374	48.99
2012-13	1861	987	874 (47)	417.56	364.95
2013-14	1861	1744	117 (6)	434.35	50.82
2014-15	1861	1629	232 (12)	434.35	100.77
Total	5894	4540	1354 (23)		565.53
			Unit 9		
2013-14	931	861	70 (8)	434.35	30.40
Total	931	861	70 (8)		30.40
Grand tota	al				951.47

Annexure2.1.3
(Referred to in paragraph 2.1.9)
Statement showing loss of revenue due to low plant load factor

Year	Capacity (in MW)	PLF as per UPERC norms (in per cent)	Actual PLF (in per cent)	Shortfall in PLF (in per cent)	Actual hours of operation of the unit	Shortfall in generation (in MUs)	Rate of Energy charges (in paisa per KWh) as per tariff order approved by UPERC	Value of energy which could not be generated (₹ in crore)
			'	 	Unit 5	1		
2010-11	60	51	26.75	24.25	4943.40	71.926	436	31.36
2011-12	60	53	33.39	19.61	4361.61	51.319	566	29.05
2012-13	60	56	42.26	13.74	5753.26	47.430	445.03	21.11
2013-14	60	60	17.83	42.17	2347.84	59.405	546.97	32.49
2014-15	60	60	23.78	36.22	3620.32	78.677	546.97	43.03
		To	tal			308.757		157.04
					Unit 8			
2010-11	250	85	49.92	35.08	791.20	69.388	374	25.95
2012-13	250	85	45.18	39.82	4679.70	465.864	417.56	194.53
2013-14	250	85	79.66	5.34	7604.09	101.515	434.35	44.09
2014-15	250	85	74.37	10.63	7565.25	201.047	434.35	87.32
		To	tal			837.814		351.89
					Unit 9			
2013-14	250	85	82.95	2.05	3653.53	18.724	434.35	8.13
2014-15	250	85	84.35	0.65	8062.16	13.101	434.35	5.69
		To	tal			31.825		13.82
		Gran	d total			1178.396		522.75

Annexure 2.1.4 (Referred to in paragraph 2.1.9) Statement showing the plant availability

Year	Generation capacity (in MW)	Total hours available for operation	PLF as per UPERC norm (in per cent)	UPERC Norm of plant availability (in per cent)	Hours of operation in reference to norm	Actual hours of operation or plant availability	Actual plant availability (in <i>per cent</i>)	Outage in excess of norm (in Hour)	Loss of units in MUs due to excess outage	Rate of Energy charges (in paisa per KWh) as per tariff order approved by UPERC	Loss due to excess outage (₹ in crore)
					Uni	t 5					
2010-11	60	8760	51	56	4905.60	4943.40	101	No excess	0	436	0
2011-12	60	8760	53	58	5080.80	4361.61	86	719.19	22.870	566	12.94
2012-13	60	8760	56	61	5343.60	5753.26	108	No excess	Nil	445.03	0
2013-14	60	8760	60	65	5694	2347.84	41	3346.16	120.462	546.97	65.88
2014-15	60	8760	60	65	5694	3620.32	64	2073.68	74.652	546.97	40.83
Т	'otal	43800			26718	21026.43		6139.03	217.984		119.65
					Uni	t 8					
2011-12	250	1440	85	85	1224	791.20	65	432.80	91.970	374	34.40
2012-13	250	8760	85	85	7446	4679.70	63	2766.30	587.839	417.56	245.45
2013-14	250	8760	85	85	7446	7604.09	102	No excess	Nil	434.35	0.00
2014-15	250	8760	85	85	7446	7565.25	102	No excess	Nil	434.35	0.00
T	'otal	27720			23562	20640.24		3199.1	679.809		279.85
					Uni	t 9					
2013-14	250	4368	85	85	3712.80	3653.53	98	59.27	12.595	434.35	5.47
2014-15	250	8760	85	85	7446	8062.16	108	No excess	Nil	434.35	0.00
	otal	13128			11158.8	11715.69		59.27	12.595		5.47
Gran	nd Total	84648			61438.8	53382.36		9397.40	910.388		404.97

Annexure 2.1.5 (Referred to in paragraphs 2.1.24, 2.1.25 and 2.1.26) Statement showing the auxiliary consumption

Year	Capacity (in MW)	Actual auxiliary consumption (in percent)	Auxiliary consumption as per UPERC norms(in per cent)	Excess auxiliary consumption (in per cent)	Total electricity generation (in MUs)	Excess auxiliary consumption in terms of MUs	Rate of Energy charges (in Paisa/ KWh) as per tariff order for the period FY 2009-14	Amount involved in excess auxiliary consumption (₹ in crore)
				Uni	t 5			
2010-11	60	16.89	11.30	5.59	140.610	7.860	436	3.43
2011-12	60	12.93	11.10	1.83	175.958	3.220	566	1.82
2012-13	60	14.62	10.90	3.72	222.139	8.277	445.03	3.68
2013-14	60	21.28	10.50	10.78	93.706	10.102	546.97	5.53
2014-15	60	18.95	10.50	8.45	124.964	10.559	546.97	5.77
		Total			757.377	40.018		20.23
				Uni	t 8			
2011-12	250	10.02	9	1.02	179.716	1.833	374	0.69
2012-13	250	11.04	9	2.04	986.556	20.126	417.56	8.40
2013-14	250	9.187	9	0.19	1744.490	3.262	434.35	1.42
2014-15	250	9.44	9	0.44	1628.798	7.167	434.35	3.11
		Total			4539.56	32.388		13.62
				Uni	t 9			
2013-14	250	8.081	9	Nil	861.030	Nil	434.35	0
2014-15	250	8.06	9	Nil	1847.311	Nil	434.35	0
		Total			2708.341			0
		Grand To	otal		8005.278	72.406		33.85

Annexure 2.1.6 (Referred to in paragraphs 2.1.24, 2.1.25 and 2.1.26) Statement showing the consumption of the specific oil

Year	UPERC Norm of consumptio n of oil (in ml per KWh)	Units generate d during the year (in MU)	Con. of oil during the year as per UPERC norms (in KL)	Actual consumptio n of oil (in KL)	Excess consumptio n of oil against the norms (in KL)	Excess consumpti on of oil against the norms (in per cent)	Average rate of oil (in ₹ per KL) as per UPERC tariff order	Value of excess consume d oil (₹ in crore)
				Unit 5				
2010-11	4.3	140.610	604.62	2928.57	2323.95	384.36	30174	7.02
2011-12	4.1	175.958	721.43	908.40	186.97	25.92	31683	0.59
2012-13	3.9	222.139	866.34	2051.09	1184.75	136.75	57372	6.80
2013-14	3.7	93.706	346.71	951.56	604.85	174.45	57372	3.47
2014-15	3.7	124.964	462.37	3162.93	2700.56	584.07	57372	15.49
To	otal	757.377	3001.47	10002.55	7001.08			33.37
				Unit 8				
2011-12	1	179.716	179.72	1931.30	1751.58	974.64	31683	5.55
2012-13	1	986.556	986.56	10887.86	9901.30	1003.62	57372	56.81
2013-14	1	1744.490	1744.49	8443.14	6698.65	383.99	57372	38.43
2014-15	1	1628.798	1628.80	5237.74	3608.95	221.57	57372	20.70
To	otal	4539.56	4539.56	26500.04	21960.48			121.49
				Unit 9				
2013-14	1	861.030	861.03	4167.29	3306.26	383.99	57372	18.97
2014-15	1	1847.311	1847.31	5940.42	4093.11	221.57	57372	23.48
T	otal	2708.341	2708.34	10107.71	7399.37			42.45
Grand Total	177 'CC 1	8005.278	10249.37	46610.30	36360.93			197.31

Note: Actual consumption of oil was available on TPS wise. However, unit-wise actual consumption was separated on pro-rata basis of energy generated.

Annexure 2.1.7 (Referred to in paragraphs 2.1.24, 2.1.25 and 2.1.26) Statement showing consumption of coal

Year	Normativ e per unit consumpt ion of coal (in Kg.)	Units generated during the year (in MUs)	Actual consumption of coal (in MT)	Consumption of coal as per UPERC norms (in MT)	Excess consumption of coal against the norms (in MT)	Excess consumpt ion of coal against the norms (in per cent)	Average rate of coal (in ₹ per MT)	Value of excess consumed coal (₹ in crore)
				Unit 5		,		
2010-11	0.84	140.610	156575.33	118112.40	38462.93	32.56	2890	11.11
2011-12	0.80	175.958	181427.00	140766.40	40660.60	28.89	3034	12.34
2012-13	0.73	222.139	230587.00	162161.47	68425.53	42.20	3721	25.46
2013-14	0.72	93.706	95646.00	67468.32	28177.68	41.76	3721	10.49
2014-15	0.72	124.964	126214.00	89974.08	36239.92	40.28	3721	13.48
To	otal	757.377	790449.33	578482.67	211966.66			72.88
				Unit 8				
2011-12	0.64	179.716	130182.00	115018.24	15163.76	13.18	3034	4.60
2012-13	0.58	986.556	725850.00	572202.48	153647.52	26.85	3721	57.17
2013-14	0.58	1744.490	1223248.02	1011804.20	211443.82	20.90	3721	78.68
2014-15	0.58	1628.798	1153696.36	944702.84	208993.52	22.12	3721	77.77
To	otal	4539.56	3232976.38	2643727.76	589248.62			218.22
				Unit 9				
2013-14	0.58	861.030	603759.97	499397.40	104362.57	20.90	3721	38.83
2014-15	0.58	1847.311	1308471.63	1071440.38	237031.25	22.12	3721	88.20
	otal	2708.341	1912231.60	1570837.78	341393.82			127.03
	d Total	8005.278	5935657.31	4793048.21	1142609.10			418.13

Note: Actual consumption of coal was available on TPS wise. However, unit-wise actual consumption was separated on pro-rata basis of energy generated.

Annexure 2.1.8
(Referred to in paragraph 2.1.27)
Statement showing ACQ, coal received and short supply of coal

Sl.	Particulars	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	Total
No.							
1	Coal as per FSA	9.00	9.00	21.341	29.57	29.57	98.48
	(in lakh MT)						
2	Quantity of coal	7.02	4.27	11.77	22.71	26.55	72.32
	received						
	(in lakh MT)						
3	Quantity of coal	1.98	4.73	9.57	6.86	3.02	26.16
	short received						
	(in lakh MT)						
4	Percentage of coal	22.00	52.55	44.84	23.19	10.21	26.56
	short received						

Source: Information provided by Company

¹ Quantity of coal before signing of FSA is included.

Annexure 2.2.1
(Referred to in paragraph 2.2.11)
Statement showing position of units running on profit or loss in five years
(₹ in lakh)

					(₹ in lakh)
Unit	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Profit making br			T		T .
Chopan	794.07	776.86	915.65	647.71	539.07
Lucknow-II	605.89	402.27	460.88	405.89	618.71
Lucknow-I	181.31	101.89	185.93	149.38	192.30
Agra-I	73.81	55.50	27.64	39.05	75.95
Aligarh	31.50	52.52	87.44	150.09	84.13
Meerut	1.89	36.95	109.09	105.55	90.01
Banda	29.85	70.72	3.90	210.15	50.08
Unnao	110.16	11.79	70.39	6.80	Merged with
					(MW)
					Lucknow-I
Tanda	34.78	5.63	13.13	5.46	MW
					Faizabad
Raebareilly	85.55				atehpur
Farrukhabad	94.40				Etawah
Hastinapur	207.14	1.72		MW Meerut	
Ghaziabad-II	7.63		MW Gha	ıziabad-I	
Ghazipur	5.80		MW Azamgarh		44.58
Biswan		Newly opened 45.58			
Gonda		Newly	13.44		
Loss making brid	dge construction	n units			
Units incurred lo	ss in five years				
Ghaziabad-I	-254.61	-270.77	-330.19	-202.84	-146.81
Pratapgarh	-12.16	-73.86	-47.40	-37.56	-56.06
Azamgarh	-56.18	-68.86	-27.35	-19.79	-24.59
Saharanpur	-15.61	-24.84	-21.32	-21.95	-18.41
Bareilly	-50.46	-90.18	-75.80	-9.52	-33.56
Faizabad	-123.18	-55.68	-56.87	-104.78	-59.64
Units incurred lo	ss in four years				
LakhimpurKheri	-10.99	-176.70	-24.81	-3.39	Name
•					changed
					(NC) Sitapur
Saidpur	-6.88	-36.82	-92.60	-58.92	MW
					Ghazipur
Deoria	-3.81	-31.03	-22.26	16.85	-15.83
Allahabad	-67.80	-173.15	-75.46	183.06	-207.52
Varanasi-I	20.63	-31.45	-64.94	-90.04	-8.95
Mathura	8.78	-12.78	-4.36	-25.04	-17.89
Units incurred lo	ss in three year	·s			
Sonbhadra	-28.88	-18.27	0.84	-3.42	MW
					Varanasi-I
Varanasi-II	-6.79	-41.42	MW Va	ranasi-I	-38.57
Fatehpur	-2.06	-1.02	MW Banda	76.70	-4.33
Bahraich	21.28	-9.84	-6.11	-16.11	10.23
Gorakhpur	46.28	-0.86	-112.60	-23.81	89.64
Jhansi-I	18.25	-28.28	-63.03	28.73	-54.97
Sultanpur-I	10.76	-26.07	55.34	-10.43	-35.71
Jaunpur		opened	-59.45	-28.59	-61.91
Units incurred lo		1			
Kanpur	-31.92	-86.38	125.86	33.02	18.96
1					

Mirzapur-I	-22.10	-31.38	416.75	443.97	252.52	
Unit	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	
Sitapur	-9.76	-13.65	MW Lakhi	mpurKheri	29.23	
Barabanki	-8.49	-21.97		MW Faizabad		
Siddarthanagar	-9.13	1.00	MW	Basti	-60.45	
Moradabad	-9.46	NC Rampur	-15.50	71.10	81.50	
Etawah	-6.77	MW	0.99	-46.82	23.82	
		Farrukhabad				
Basti	9.12	-17.82	30.97	44.88	-42.86	
Auraiya	6.54	-10.86	23.99	1322.41 ²	MW Kanpur	
SantKabir		Newly opened		-6.89	-47.48	
Nagar						
Units incurred lo	ss in one year					
Orai	13.92	3.53	-23.76	27.20	14.81	
Shahjahanpur	5.74	-7.82		MW Bareilly		
Najibabad	12.78	-27.95	MW	4.38	78.03	
			Moradabad			
Agra-II		opened	-13.68	MW Agra-I	MW Mathura	
Noida	165.19	95.99	214.36	-109.16	135.10	
Zamania		Newly opened		-11.53	MW	
					Ghazipur	
Mirzapur-II		Newly opened		-10.41	MW	
					Mirzapur-I	
Chitrakoot	Newly	opened	3.99	44.62	-69.02	
Kannauj		Newly opened	22.92	-22.52		
Firozabad		-36.90				
Sultanpur-II			-12.53			
Hapur		Newly	opened		-17.26	
Jhansi-II		Newly	opened		-5.55	

Source: Compilation of Accounts of the Company

-

² This includes accountal of claim of ₹13.40crore realized against tender work; otherwise, the unit incurred loss of ₹17.59 lakh.

Annexure 2.2.2 (Referred to in paragraph 2.2.11) Statement showing deployment of manpower

Sl. No.	Zone	Name of units	No. of Workers	No. of	Actual turnover per worker deployed in the units (₹ in lakh)				in the units (₹
1,00			Deploye	bridg	2009-10	2010-	2011-12	2012-	2013-14
			ď	es		11		13	(Provisional)
1	Lucknow	Lucknow-I	153-252	8-13	36.74	61.72	54.34	24.29	28.18
2		Lucknow-II	188-216	8-14	49.96	36.31	42.78	35.37	52.09
3	Ghaziabad	Noida	104-157	8-16	85.14	85.64	85.37	44.71	58.65
4		Ghaziabad	126-188	4-12	13.14	9.78	26.81	22.50	32.17
5		Meerut	62-84	5-22	106.26	41.56	78.99	66.33	56.43
6	Kanpur	Kanpur	103-181	7-14	21.26	21.87	3.53	25.06	19.80
7		Orai	31-112	4-7	36.65	40.45	23.45	28.91	1.59
8		Jhansi-I	108-125	7-9	12.26	10.31	15.91	1.89	11.81
9	Allahabad	Allahabad	137-190	6-12	6.08	8.98	17.86	21.48	10.12
10		Banda	139-192	8-12	13.21	16.32	20.55	22.92	23.97
11	Agra	Agra	93-110	5-18	33.28	3.42	36.96	35.45	41.35
12	Gorakhpur	Gorakhpur	181-190	12-22	9.59	7.09	12.72	24.43	17.95
13	Basti	Basti	64-165	7-22	15.02	9.82	16.88	24.84	18.34
14	Varanasi	Varanasi-I	99-141	2-4	35.06	31.76	24.41	13.35	11.56
		Inter-unit range of average			6.08-	3.42-	3.53-	1.89-	1.59-58.65
G	T. C	turnover (₹ in	lakh) per wo	rker	106.26	85.64	78.99	66.33	

Source: Information furnished by the Company

Annexure 2.2.3 (Referred to in paragraphs 2.2.15) Statements showing bridge-wise time overrun

Zone	Bridge
Lucknow	An analysis of reason for time overrun by audit revealed that a period of two to 10 months after AFS was taken for finalisation of site,37 days to 15 months after administrative and financial sanction for issue of general arrangement drawing (GAD) by the Company to units, 92 days to 56 months after AFS for issue of first drawing by the Company to units as well as non-existence of system of preparing activity-wise time bound construction plan. BCU-I-Lucknow
	Retaining wall, Alambagh, Lucknow
	The work of the bridge was sanctioned in February 2009, which was to be completed by November 2011. The unit completed its portion in May 2012 with the delay of six months. Though the unit paid ₹ 11.64 crore to Railways in November 2010 to execute its portion of the work, it completed the work in November 2013. Resultantly, the bridge was completed with a delay of two years.
	ROB, Para, Lucknow
	The work of the bridge was sanctioned in February 2009, which was to be completed by December 2011. The unit completed its portion in October 2013 which attributed delay of one year and 10 months. Despite that the unit paid ₹ 24.86 crore to Railways in November 2010 to execute it portion of work, it completed its portion in June 2014.Resultantly, the bridge was completed with a delay of threeyears and six months. BCU-II-Lucknow
	ROB-Cycle Track Lucknow
	The work of the bridge was sanctioned in July 2006, which was to be completed by March 2009. The work was, however, completed in March 2012 with delay of three years. We noticed that there was delay in land acquisition as graveyard was coming in the alignment of the bridge. In compliance of direction of Hon'ble High Court, the alignment of bridge was changed. Due to these reasons, the work was stopped for 26 months. Resultantly, the bridge was completed with a delay of threeyears.
	ROB, Viramkhand, Gomti Nagar The work of the bridge was sanctioned in June 2010, which was to be completed by July 2012. The work was, however, under construction as at the end of March 2015. Main reasons were that LDA took approximately four years in shifting high tension line. The shifting work was completed in February 2014 whereas payment for the work was made by the Company in April 2010. Further, also there was delay in completion of Railway portion. Resultantly, the bridge was completed with a delay of threeyears as of August 2015. The Management stated (September 2015) general reasons for delay in completion of bridges. In the exit conference, the Special Secretary took notice of the delay in completion of bridges and instructed the Management to avoid delays in future.

Zone	Bridge
Ghaziabad	Ananalysis of reason for time overrun by audit revealed that a period of 17 days to 16 months and four to 16 months after AFS was taken for issue of general arrangement drawing (GAD) and first drawing respectively by the Company to units as well as non-existence of system of preparing activity-wise time bound construction plan. BCU-Ghaziabad ROB-95C, Dasna, Ghaziabad The work of the bridge was sanctioned in September 2008, which was to be completed by August 2011. The work was, however, completed in March 2014 with the delay of two years and six months. We noticed that the unit completed the bridge except adjacent portion in March 2011 but Railway department started the work of railway portion in December 2011. Delayed completion of Railway portion by Railway department led to delay in completion of the bridge. The Management stated (September 2015) general reasons for delay in completion of bridges. In the exit conference, the Special Secretary took notice of the delay in completion of bridges and instructed the Management to avoid delays in future.
Kanpur	An analysis of reason for time overrun by audit revealed that a period of six days to five months after AFS was taken for finalisation of site, four to 12 months after AFS for issue of general arrangement drawing (GAD) by the Company to units, seven to 14 months after AFS for issue of first drawing by the Company to units. as well as non-existence of system of preparing activity-wise time bound construction plan. BCU-Kanpur Yamuna river bridge, Mankighat, Hamirpur The work of bridge was sanctioned in April 2006, which was to be completed by April 2010. The bridge was, however, completed in March 2014 with the delay of four years. Reasons for delay was hard and rocky strata of wells as intimated (September 2015) by the Management. Yamuna river bridge, Juhikhghat, Jalaun The work of bridge was sanctioned in October 2005, which was to be completed by November 2009. The bridge was, however, completed in January 2014 with the delay of four years. Reason for delayed completion of the bridge was not found on records. Sajnam river bridge, HansaarKalguwanmarg The work of bridge was sanctioned in June 2005, which was to be completed by April 2010. The bridge was, however, completed in December 2013with the delay of three years and six months. Reason for delay was that work was stopped during April 2007 to March 2011 for want of fund. When revised estimate was sanctioned and fund was released in 2011 the work was started and completed. The Management stated (September 2015) general reasons for delay in completion of bridges. In the exit conference, the Special Secretary took notice of the delay in completion of bridges and instructed the Management to avoid delays in future.

Zone	Bridge
Allahabd	An analysis of reason for time overrun by audit revealed that a period of one month after AFS was taken for finalisation of site, one to five months after AFS for issue of general arrangement drawing (GAD) by the Company to units, 21 days to seven months after AFS for issue of first drawing by the Company to units. as well as non-existence of system of preparing activity-wise time bound construction plan. BCU-Allahabad Ganga river, yatrighat, Pratapgarh The work of bridge was sanctioned in August 2006, which was to be completed by October 2010. The bridge was, however, completed in March 2014 with the delay of three years and four months. Reasonfor delay was not on record; the Management intimated (September 2015) that delay in completion was due to delayed release of fund by the client (DRDA). BCU-Banda Ken river bridge-2, by-pass, Banda The work of bridge was sanctioned in August 2008, which was to be completed by October 2012. The bridge was, however, under construction (August 2015) with the delay of two years and ten months. Reason for delay was extra length and rocky strata of the wells which was taking time. The Management stated (September 2015) general reasons for delay in completion of bridges. In the exit conference, the Special Secretary took notice of the delay in completion of bridges and instructed the Management to avoid delays in future.
Agra	An analysis of reason for time overrun by audit revealed that a period of 35 days after AFS was taken for finalisation of site, three to 31 months after AFS for issue of general arrangement drawing (GAD) by the Company to units, four to seven months after AFS for issue of first drawing by the Company to units as well as non-existence of system of preparing activity-wise time bound construction plan. BCU-Agra ROB-491, Rohta-Runaktamarg The work of bridge was sanctioned in February 2009, which was to be completed by August 2011. The bridge was, however, completed in August 2014 with the delay of three years. Reason for delay was not found on record. The Management stated (September 2015) general reasons for delay in completion of bridges. In the exit conference, the Special Secretary took notice of the delay in completion of bridges and instructed the Management to avoid delays in future.

Zone	Bridge
Gorakhpur	An analysis of reason for time overrun by audit revealed that a period of 39 to 69 days after AFS was taken for finalisation of site, six to 31 months after AFS after AFS for issue of general arrangement drawing (GAD) by the Company to units, 77 days after AFS for issue of first drawing by the Company to units. as well as non-existence of system of preparing activity-wise time bound construction plan. BCU-Gorakhpur ROB-163A SurajKund The work of bridge was sanctioned in March 2008, which was to be completed by December 2011. The bridge was, however, under construction (August 2015). Thus, there was delay of three years in completion of the bridge which will increase more. Main reason for delayed construction was that there was encroachment in alignment of the bridge which was later on changed. To change the alignment, leasing of land by railway department was required for which proposal was sent in October 2013. The lease deed was executed in August 2014. Rohin river bridge, Chankighat The work of bridge was sanctioned in March 1996, which was to be completed by April 2000. The bridge was, however, completed in May 2012 with the delay of 12 years. The main reason for delay was that area in question was coming under the SohagiBarwa Wildlife Sanctuary. Before start of work, prior approval from Central Government for non-forestry use of forest land was required but the bridge was started without taking NOC. Hence, the work was stopped by the Forest Department. Proposal for grant of NOC was sent in May 2000 by the Unit. The Central Government granted NOC in June 2011. During this period (May 1999 to March 2011), work was stopped. This led to delay in completion of bridge, which could have been avoided by obtaining the NOC in time by vigorous pursuance. The Management stated (September 2015) general reasons for delay in completion of bridges. In the exit conference, the Special Secretary took notice of the delay in
Basti	completion of bridges and instructed the Management to avoid delays in future. An analysis of reason for time overrun by audit revealed that a period of four to 17 months after AFS was taken for issue of general arrangement drawing (GAD) by the Company to units, 15 days to nine months after AFS for issue of first drawing by the Company to units. as well as non-existence of system of preparing activity-wise time bound construction plan. BCU-Basti Ghaghra bridge, Birharghat The work of bridge was sanctioned in March 2005, which was to be completed by September 2009. The bridge was, however, completed in November 2014 with the delay of five years and six months. Reason for delay was not found on record. BoorhiRapti bridge, Horillpurghat, Sidharthnagar The work of bridge was sanctioned in March 2005, which was to be completed by September 2009. The bridge was, however, completed in April 2012 with the delay of two years and six months. Reason for delay was not found on record. The Management stated (September 2015) general reasons for delay in completion of bridges. In the exit conference, the Special Secretary took notice of the delay in completion of bridges and instructed the Management to avoid delays in future.

Zone	Bridge
Varanasi	An analysis of reason for time overrun by audit revealed that a period of 62 days after
	AFS was taken for finalisation of site, eight to 10 months after AFS for issue of
	general arrangement drawing (GAD) by the Company to units, nine to 18 months after
	AFS for issue of first drawing by the Company to units. as well as non-existence of
	system of preparing activity-wise time bound construction plan.
	BCU-Varanasi
	Ganga river bridge, Samneghat
	The work of bridge was sanctioned in February 2006, which was to be completed by
	August 2010. The bridge was, however, under construction (August 2015). Thus there was already delay of five years. Reasons for delay were as below:
	• Land of 1.77 hectare was to be acquired for the bridge and approach road. PWD,
	Varanasi sent the land acquisition proposal to SLAO in October 2007. Amount of
	Rs.1.43 crore as demanded by SLAO was also paid to SLAO in August 2008but the
	land could be acquired in May 2013.
	• The Unit sent (28 June 2010) proposal to Inland Waterway Authority of India
	(IWAI) for NOC. The IWAI furnished NOC on 8 August 2013.
	Above reasons led to delay in completion of the bridge.
	Ganga river bridge, Baluaghat
	The work of bridge was sanctioned in November 2005, which was to be completed by
	April 2010. The bridge was, however, completed in March 2014 with the delay of five
	years.
	We noticed that IWAI ordered (24 April 2010) to stop the on-going construction work
	of the bridge. In response to the order of IWAI, the Unit applied (4 May 2010) for
	NOC to construct the bridge. IWAI granted "Conditional Clearance" on 19 February
	2014 to construct the bridge. Hence, delay in grant of NOC led to delay in completion
	of bridge.
	The Management stated (September 2015) general reasons for delay in completion of
	bridges. In the exit conference, the Special Secretary took notice of the delay in
	completion of bridges and instructed the Management to avoid delays in future.

Source: Information furnished by the Company and worked out by Audit.

Annexure 2.2.4 (Referred to in paragraphs 2.2.16) Statement showing cost overrun

(₹ in lakh)

Name of zone	Sl. No.	Name of Unit	Code of work	Name of work	Year of completion	Original estimate	Expenditure incurred	Cost overrun	Cost overrun (percentage)
Lucknow	1	Lucknow-I	1963	KukrailNala, Lucknow	2009-10	415.87	592.83	176.96	42.55
	2	Lucknow-I	2156	ROB, Manak Nagar, Lucknow	2012-13	2043.70	4063.64	2019.94	98.84
	3	Lucknow-I	2154	ROB, BhaisakundNishatganj, Lucknow	2011-12	2681.42	3062.07	380.65	14.20
	4	Lucknow-I	2161	Retaining wall, Alambagh, Lucknow	2013-14	1471.41	3180.80	1709.39	116.17
	5	Lucknow-I	2160	ROB, Para, Lucknow	2014-15	2559.70	7945.65	5385.95	210.41
	6	Lucknow-I	2021	Gomti bridge SubehaMarg, Barabanki	2012-13	856.17	983.14	126.97	14.83
	7	Lucknow-I	2247	Fly over, Buddheshwar, Lucknow	2013-14	1664.22	3449.46	1785.24	107.27
	8	Lucknow-I	2205	Gomti bridge, Ghailaghat, Lucknow	WIP	1286.40	2554.43	1268.03	98.57
	9	Lucknow-I	12003	ROB, Purania, Lucknow	2014-15	4993.64	5796.60	802.96	16.08
	10	Lucknow-II	2063	ROB-8ML, Nirala Nagar	2011-12	1203.40	1528.94	325.54	27.05
	11	Lucknow-II	1975	ROB-cycle track, Lucknow	2011-12	4008.29	5425.00	1416.71	35.34
	12	Lucknow-II	2167	ROB-Sahara bridge, Gomti Nagar	2011-12	2055.00	7657.59	5602.59	272.63
	13	Lucknow-II	2258	Bridge over KukrailNala, Lucknow	2014-15	4814.52	5280.20	465.68	9.67
	14	Lucknow-II	2450	Ghaghra river bridge, ChahlariGhat	WIP	8588.29	9984.43	1396.14	16.26
	15	Lucknow-II	13002	ROB-214 Spl, Mall Avenue	2014-15	3799.33	4204.97	405.64	10.68
	16	Lucknow-II	13004	Cycle track, Qabristan	2014-15	451.24	867.48	416.24	92.24
Total						42892.60	66577.23	23684.63	
Ghaziabad	1	Noida	2137	ROB-74 and 41 Special, Hapur	2011-12	2091.46	2689.42	597.96	28.59
	2	Noida	2423	ROB-13A Bulandshahar	2014-15	5151.00	5488.12	337.12	6.54
	3	Noida	2418	ROB-15A, Bulandshahar	2014-15	1902.98	2147.49	244.51	12.85

Name of zone	Sl. No.	Name of Unit	Code of work	Name of work	Year of completion	Original estimate	Expenditure incurred	Cost overrun	Cost overrun (percentage)
	4	Ghaziabad	2135	ROB-95C, Dasna, Ghaziabad	2013-14	1494.71	2240.39	745.68	49.89
	5	Ghaziabad	2274	ROB-10C, Murad Nagar, Ghaziabad	2011-12	1411.34	1418.14	6.80	0.48
	6	Ghaziabad	2281	ROB-2C, Jain Mandir	2014-15	3010.20	3214.92	204.72	6.80
	7	Meerut	2261	ROB-55, MevlaPhatak, Muzaffar Nagar	2013-14	2098.30	2593.78	495.48	23.61
	8	Meerut	2469	ROB-92C, Sarsawa	2014-15	1716.95	1809.48	92.53	5.39
Total						18876.94	21601.74	2724.80	
Kanpur	1	Kanpur	1833	Rind river bridge, binaurperazor, Kanpur	2010-11	196.93	241.52	44.59	22.64
	2	Kanpur	2149	ROB-77B Shyam Nagar, Kanpur	2011-12	1154.16	1681.94	527.78	45.73
	3	Kanpur	1947	Yamuna river bridge, Mankighat, Hamirpur	2013-14	2192.00	3499.46	1307.46	59.65
	4	Kanpur	2175	ROB-81D Cantt., Kanpur	2011-12	824.24	1358.41	534.17	64.81
	5	Kanpur	2350	ROB-240A Dada Nagar, Kanpur	2013-14	1835.98	2162.00	326.02	17.76
	6	Kanpur	1735	Yamuna river bridge, Juhikhghat, Jalaun	2013-14	2378.06	3864.87	1486.81	62.52
	7	Jhansi	1681	Sajnam river bridge, HansaarKalguwanMarg	2013-14	92.94	207.08	114.14	122.81
Total						8674.31	13015.28	4340.97	
Allahabad	1	Allahabad	2256	Fly over, Alopi Devi Mandir to Bairahna crossing	2012-13	2965.97	3665.24	699.27	23.58
	2	Allahabad	1983	Ganga river, YatriGhat, Pratapgarh	2013-14	2384.34	3890.72	1506.38	63.18
	3	Banda	2064	Paishwani river bridge, DevalKucharam	2012-13	516.56	730.28	213.72	41.37
	4	Banda	1994	Chandrawal river bridge, Pabdhari Para	2011-12	342.01	420.03	78.02	22.81
	5	Banda	2130	Ken river bridge-2, By-pass, Banda	WIP	2276.30	4321.82	2045.52	89.86
	6	Banda	2114	Chandrawal river bridge, Banni	2010-11	244.21	248.92	4.71	1.93
Total						8729.39	13277.01	4547.62	

Name of zone	Sl. No.	Name of Unit	Code of work	Name of work	Year of completion	Original estimate	Expenditure incurred	Cost overrun	Cost overrun (percentage)
Agra	1	Agra	2268	ROB-503, Sikandara-BodlaMarg	2014-15	1707.85	1989.85	282.00	16.51
	2	Agra	2164	ROB-157, between Rawali temple and Collectorate	WIP	307.84	1243.79	935.95	304.04
	3	Agra	2422	ROB-509, Agra-Mathura Rail Section	2014-15	1632.13	1853.25	221.12	13.55
	4	Agra	2158	ROB-491, Rohta-RunaktaMarg	2014-15	1881.45	3369.85	1488.40	79.11
	5	Agra	2120	Yamuna river bridge, near Stretchi bridge, Agra	2010-11	1273.58	2508.14	1234.56	96.94
	6	Agra	2437	ROB-81, Awalkhera-JalesarMarg	WIP	1429.82	1584.88	155.06	10.84
Total						8232.67	12549.76	4317.09	
Gorakhpur	1	Gorakhpur	2050	ROB158A/3E Char Phatak	2011-12	806.66	1017.52	210.86	26.14
	2	Gorakhpur	1059	Rohin river bridge, ChankiGhat	2012-13	78.10	332.50	254.40	325.74
	3	Gorakhpur	2393	Rapti river bridge, Aswanpaar	WIP	1321.87	1370.66	48.79	3.69
	4	Gorakhpur	2434	Aami river bridge, KataiTeekar	2013-14	293.71	371.60	77.89	26.52
Total						2500.34	3092.28	591.94	
Basti	1	Basti	2123	Kuano river bridge/Siswaniaghat, SantKabir Nagar	2010-11	305.90	309.34	3.44	1.12
	2	Basti	1458	Ghaghra river bridge, BirharGhat	2014-15	5374.34	5701.86	327.52	6.09
	3	Basti	2182	Rapti river bridge, VithariGhat	WIP	441.25	502.43	61.18	13.87
Total						6121.49	6513.63	392.14	
Varanasi	1	Varanasi-1	1762	Ganga river bridge, SamneGhat	WIP	3893.00	5976.02	2083.02	53.51
	2	Varanasi-1	2114	Gomti river bridge, BansattiGhat	2010-11	443.85	629.91	186.06	41.92
	3	Varanasi-1	1741	Ganga river bridge, BaluaGhat	WIP	3664.73	4605.66	940.93	25.68
Total						8001.58	11211.59	3210.01	
Grand Total	53	unished by units				104029.32	147838.52	43809.20	

Source: Information furnished by units

Annexure 2.2.5 (Referred to in paragraph 2.2.18)

Statement showing normative ownership and operational chargesand actual booked there-against

₹ in crore)

Particulars	2009-	2010-	2011-	2012-	2013-	2014-	Total
	10	11	12	13	14	15	
Ownership charges (OW C)							
OW C booked on work	12.32	12.58	14.30	13.17	14.63	14.47	81.47
OW C to be booked (actual	3.55	3.05	2.63	2.29	2.55	3.70	17.77
depreciation)							
Excess charged to the work	8.77	9.53	11.67	10.88	12.08	10.77	63.70
Operation charges (OP C)							
OP C booked on work	20.48	18.63	18.17	17.42	19.74	20.18	114.62
Actual expenses incurred	10.03	10.06	12.78	12.87	16.57	17.38	79.69
Excess charged to work	10.45	8.57	5.39	4.55	3.17	2.80	34.93
Total OWC and OPC booked							196.09
Actual OWC and OPC							97.46
Excess OWC and OPC							98.63

Source: Accounts of the Company.

Annexure 2.2.6 (Referred to in paragraph 2.2.19)

Statement showing normative shuttering chargesand actual depreciation on shuttering to be booked there-against

(₹ in crore)

Year	Openin g Balance	Fabricate d during the year	Tota l	30 percent depreciation to be charged on work	Written down value at the close of the year	Normativ e charges booked on the work	Percen tage of written off to total	Excess charge d on the work
1	2	3	4 (2+3)	5 (4x30 per cent)	6 (4-5)	7	8 (7x100/ 4)	9 (7-5)
2009- 10	27.02	29.80	56.8 2	17.05	39.77	24.71	43.48	7.66
2010- 11	39.77	12.60	52.3 7	15.71	36.66	18.98	42.46	3.27
2011- 12	36.66	22.91	59.5 7	17.87	41.70	23.31	47.92	5.44
2012- 13	41.70	25.88	67.5 8	20.27	47.31	23.17	45.24	2.90
2013- 14	47.31	17.48	64.7 9	19.44	45.35	24.77	54.42	5.33
2014- 15	45.35	35.53	80.8 8	24.26	56.62	32.69	58.07	8.43
Total				114.60		147.63		33.03

Source: Information furnished by the Company and worked out by Audit

Annexure2.2.7

(Referred to in paragraphs 2.2.25, 2.2.26, 2.2.27, 2.2.28, 2.2.30, 2.2.31, 2.2.32, 2.2.33, 2.2.35, 2.2.36, 2.2.37, 2.2.39, 2.2.40, 2.2.41, 2.2.42, 2.2.44, 2.2.45, 2.2.49, 2.2.50, 2.2.52,2.2.53,2.2.55 and 2.2.56)

Statement showing other irregularities

1	Particulars								Amo (₹ lak	in
I S H	In violation of so as to ensure However, as p cement of ₹ 5 which could	Para 137, 144 that consumption for consumption 7.78 lakh was not be observed	ption statements and 145 of the ma- ion of materials we in statement of ce- consumed in 13 and ed by the Mana	as within the prement got preparoridges out of 8	escribed ed fron 8 bridg	d norms. In the units, we see in excess of	found t	that 22226 bescribed nor	nits 57.	
	Zone	Zone Unit No. of bridges Excess consumption of cement (in Bags) Amount³								
	Lucknow	BCU-I, Luck	cnow	4		9707		25.23		
		BCU-II, Luc	know	3		9877		25.68		
	Ghaziabad	BCU, Meeru		1		315		0.82		
		BCU, Noida		2		1142		2.97		
I	Allahabad	BCU, Banda		3		1185		3.08		
	Total			13		22226		57.78		
	The Management stated (September 2015) that that instructions for preparation of consumption statements									
l I S	The Managem had been issued Procurement Steel bars (234 from non-RCs	d (24 February of steel at high 40.45 MT) of ₹ firms at the hi	2015). Her rates from note 10.02 crore were gher rates (0.04 p	n-RC forms e purchased by the per cent to 24.86	the unit	ts during five ye	ears up	to March 2 This resulted	57. 014 d in	.9
l S f e v	The Managem had been issue Procurement Steel bars (234 from non-RCs extra expendituwas not supponotice of heado	d (24 February of steel at high 40.45 MT) of ₹ firms at the high tree of ₹ 57.91 lefted with the re- quarters of the	2015). er rates from no f 10.02 crore were	n-RC forms e purchased by the per cent to 24.86 e from non-RC foility certificates recover extra ex	the unit of per confirms less (from	ts during five yeent) than that of eading to extra extra RCs firms) and ure/cost from the	ears up RCs. 'xpendit	to March 2 This resulted ture by the upper to the total t	57. 014 d in nits the	.9
I S	The Managem had been issue Procurement Steel bars (234 from non-RCs extra expendituwas not supponotice of heado	d (24 February of steel at high 40.45 MT) of ₹ firms at the high tree of ₹ 57.91 lefted with the re- quarters of the	2015). Her rates from no for 10.02 crore were gher rates (0.04 place) akh. The purchase quired non-availal Company so as to	n-RC forms e purchased by e recent to 24.86 e from non-RC f oility certificates recover extra ex- wise details are	the unit of per confirms less (from expendit exammer) summer chase	ts during five yeent) than that of eading to extra extra RCs firms) and ure/cost from the	ears up F. R.C.s. / expendit also no e earne	to March 2 This resulted ture by the upper to the total t	57. 014 d in nits the the	.9
l I S f e v	The Managem had been issued Procurement Steel bars (234 from non-RCs extra expenditus was not support notice of head defaulting RCs	d (24 February of steel at high 40.45 MT) of ₹ firms at the hiure of ₹ 57.91 letted with the requarters of the a firms as per control of the firms and the firms as per control of the firms as per c	2015). The rates from no are 10.02 crore were gher rates (0.04 pakh. The purchase quired non-availal Company so as to contracts. The zone Steel purchase the rates of the rat	n-RC forms e purchased by per cent to 24.86 e from non-RC foility certificates recover extra ex-wise details are Cost of pur from non-	the unit of per confirms less (from expendit exp	ts during five yeent) than that of ading to extra extra extra extra extra extra firms) and ure/cost from the arized below: Cost of purchat RC rate	ears up F. R.C.s. / expendit also no e earne	to March 2 This resulted ture by the upt brought to est money of Excess expenditure	57. 014 d in nits the the	.9
l I S f e v	The Managem had been issued Procurement Steel bars (234 from non-RCs extra expenditus was not support notice of headed defaulting RCs	d (24 February of steel at high 40.45 MT) of ₹ firms at the hi are of ₹ 57.91 leted with the re- quarters of the firms as per co Unit	2015). The rates from no are 10.02 crore were gher rates (0.04 pakh. The purchase quired non-availal Company so as to contracts. The zone Steel purchase (in MT)	n-RC forms e purchased by per cent to 24.86 e from non-RC foility certificates recover extra ex-wise details are Cost of purfrom non- firms (₹ in)	the unit for per confirms less (from spendit es summ chase RC lakh)	ts during five yeent) than that of eading to extra extra extra extra extra extra firms) and ure/cost from the arized below: Cost of purchat RC rate (₹ in lakh)	ears up F. R.C.s. / expendit also no e earne	to March 2 This resulted ture by the upper to brought to est money of Excess expenditure (₹ in lakh)	57. 014 d in nits the the	.9
l I S f e v	The Managem had been issued Procurement Steel bars (234 from non-RCs extra expenditus was not support notice of headed defaulting RCs Zone	d (24 February of steel at high 40.45 MT) of ₹ firms at the hi are of ₹ 57.91 leted with the requarters of the firms as per co Unit Lucknow-I	2015). Her rates from no for 10.02 crore were gher rates (0.04 pakh. The purchase quired non-availal Company so as to contracts. The zone Steel purchase (in MT) 519.94	n-RC forms e purchased by per cent to 24.86 e from non-RC foility certificates recover extra ex-wise details are Cost of purfrom non- firms (₹ in 1) 218.70	the unit for per confirms less (from spendit es summ chase RC lakh)	ts during five yeent) than that of ading to extra extends and ure/cost from the arized below: Cost of purchat RC rate (₹ in lakh) 204.91	ears up F. R.C.s. / expendit also no e earne	to March 2 This resulted ture by the upper to brought to est money of Excess expenditure (₹ in lakh) 13.79	57. 014 d in nits the the	.9
l I S f e v	The Managem had been issued Procurement Steel bars (234 from non-RCs extra expenditus was not support notice of headed defaulting RCs	d (24 February of steel at high 40.45 MT) of ₹ firms at the hi ure of ₹ 57.91 I rted with the re quarters of the s firms as per co Unit Lucknow-I Lucknow-II	2015). Her rates from no and the second sec	n-RC forms e purchased by the purchased by the per cent to 24.86 from non-RC folility certificates recover extra extra extra details are details are from non-firms (₹ in 1218.70 134.52	the unit of per continues of the continu	ts during five yeent) than that of ading to extra ext	ears up F. R.C.s. / expendit also no e earne	to March 2 This resulted ture by the upper to brought to est money of Excess expenditure (₹ in lakh) 13.79 10.56	57. 014 d in nits the the	.9
l I S f e v	The Managem had been issued Procurement Steel bars (234 from non-RCs extra expenditus was not support notice of headed defaulting RCs Zone	d (24 February of steel at high 40.45 MT) of ₹ firms at the hi are of ₹ 57.91 l rted with the re quarters of the firms as per co Unit Lucknow-I Lucknow-II Ghaziabad	2015). Her rates from no for 10.02 crore were gher rates (0.04 plack). The purchase quired non-availal Company so as to contracts. The zone Steel purchase (in MT) 519.94 311.54 33.44	n-RC forms e purchased by a per cent to 24.86 e from non-RC f collity certificates recover extra ex- wise details are from non- firms (₹ in 1) 218.70 134.52	the united per control of per contro	ts during five yeent) than that of rading to extra extends and ure/cost from the arized below: Cost of purchat RC rate (₹ in lakh) 204.91 123.96 10.58	ears up F. R.C.s. / expendit also no e earne	to March 2 This resulted ture by the u of brought to est money of Excess expenditure (₹ in lakh) 13.79 10.56 0.89	57. 014 d in nits the the	.9
l I S f e v	The Managem had been issued Procurement Steel bars (234 from non-RCs extra expenditus was not support notice of headed defaulting RCs Zone Lucknow	d (24 February of steel at high 40.45 MT) of ₹ firms at the hi are of ₹ 57.91 I red with the requarters of the s firms as per co Unit Lucknow-I Lucknow-II Ghaziabad Noida	2015). Her rates from no and the second sec	n-RC forms e purchased by per cent to 24.86 e from non-RC foility certificates recover extra ex-wise details are from non-firms (₹ in 1 218.70 134.52 11.47 167.29	the unit 5 per continues (from spendit e summ chase RC lakh)	ts during five yeent) than that of ading to extra ext	ears up F. R.C.s. / expendit also no e earne	to March 2 This resulted ture by the upt brought to est money of Excess expenditure (₹ in lakh) 13.79 10.56 0.89 8.79	57. 014 d in nits the the	.9
l I S f e v	The Managem had been issued Procurement Steel bars (234 from non-RCs extra expenditus was not support notice of headed defaulting RCs Zone Lucknow Ghaziabad Kanpur	d (24 February of steel at high 40.45 MT) of ₹ firms at the hi are of ₹ 57.91 I red with the requarters of the G firms as per co Unit Lucknow-I Lucknow-II Ghaziabad Noida Kanpur	2015). Her rates from no for 10.02 crore were gher rates (0.04 plakh. The purchase quired non-availal Company so as to contracts. The zone Steel purchase (in MT) 519.94 311.54 33.44 367.59 413.15	n-RC forms e purchased by a per cent to 24.86 e from non-RC f collity certificates recover extra ex- wise details are from non- firms (₹ in 1) 218.70 134.52 11.47 167.29	the unit for per continue to per continue to the continue to t	ts during five yeent) than that of ading to extra extends and ure/cost from the arized below: Cost of purchat RC rate (₹ in lakh) 204.91 123.96 10.58 158.50 156.62	ears up F. R.C.s. / expendit also no e earne	to March 2 This resulted ture by the upper to be the post money of the set money of the se	57. 014 d in nits the the	.9

³ Calculated at the lowest rate of ₹ 260/bag of Rate Contracts executed in 2013-14

Particulars Amount No. (₹ in lakh) 27.67 3. **Purchase of consumable items** Commercial section of the Company, in violation of Para 23 of Manual, did not circulate prices of common bought out items (consumables). As a result, purchase of 40 consumable items during 2009-10 by 14 units at higher rate by one per cent to 485 per cent could not be checked resulting in an extra expenditure of ₹ 27.67 lakh. The zone-wise extra expenditure is given below: Name of Unit Zone **Amount** Rate variation (₹ in lakh) BCU-I & II, Lucknow 2.46 1 to 393 per cent Lucknow Ghaziabad BCU Ghaziabad, Noida and Meerut 7 to 368 per cent 8.32 BCU Kanpur, Orai and Jhansi Kanpur 3.35 1 to 484 per cent Allahabad BCU Allahabad, and Banda 2.94 6 to 484 per cent BCU Agra 4.30 22 to 128 per cent Agra

1.21

2.89

2.20

27.67

3 to 371 per cent

1 to 485 per cent

3 to 350 per cent

The Management stated (September 2015) that purchases were made by the purchase committees of the units at the lowest market rates. The reply is not tenable as in absence of data of prevailing market rates, the genuineness of the offered rates could not be judged by the units.

4. Time taken in handing over of completed bridges

BCU Gorakhpur

BCU-I Varanasi

BCU Basti

Gorakhpur

Varanasi

Basti

Total

After completion of bridge, it should immediately be reported to the UPPWD for handing over by the Company so as to open it for public use and maintenance by UPPWD.

Due to lack of monitoring of the activities of the units by the Company and failure in coordination with UPPWD, 37 bridges out of 67 bridgescompleted by the units during 2009-10 to 2013-14 were handed over by the UPPWD after a delay of one to 71 months. However, 30 bridges could not be handed over after a lapse of one to 54 months as of March 2015 for no reason on record. The zone-wise position of handing over of completed bridges is given below:

Zone	Units	Bridges handed over	Bridges not handed	Delay in handing over months)		
			over	Handed	Not hande	
				over	over	
Lucknow	BCU-I &II Lucknow	13	3	1-71	1-10	
Ghaziabad	BCU Noida, Ghaziabad and Meerut	11	10	1-14	8-40	
Kanpur	BCU Kanpur and Jhansi	2	6	18-46	13-54	
Allahabad	BCU Allahabad and Banda	3	4	9-26	13-52	
Agra	BCU Agra	-	5	-	6-54	
Gorakhpur	BCU Gorakhpur	4	-	3-9	-	
Basti	BCUBasti	3	2	19-48	5-23	
Varanasi	BCU Varanasi-I	1	-	54	-	
Total		37	30	1-71	1-54	

The Management accepted (September 2015) that completed bridges were handed over belatedly and assured that bridges would be handed over immediately after completion.

Source: Information furnished by the units

Annexure 2.3.1 (Referred to in paragraph 2.3.8)

Statement showing time overrun and cost overrun in respect of new projects of UPRVUNL

Name of Project	Unit No.	Capacity (MW)	Scheduled date of completion	Actual date/ month of commissioning	Delay (in months)	Original cost (₹ in crore)	Revised cost (₹ in crore)	Excess cost (₹ in crore)
Parichha Extension	5	250	July 2010	July 2012	24	1969.18	2822.82	853.64
	6	250	December 2010	April 2013	28			
Anpara 'D'	6	500	April 2011	Yet to be commissioned	More than 48	5358.79	7027.40	1668.61
	7	500	July 2011	Yet to be commissioned	More than 48			
			Total			7327.97	9850.22	2522.25

Source: Information furnished by UPRVUNL

Annexure 2.3.2
(Referred to in paragraph 2.3.20)
Statement showing PLF of various power generating stations of UPRVUNL

(in per cent)

Name of	2010-11		2011-12		2012-13		2013-14		2014-15	
TPS	UPERC	Actual								
	norms		norms		norms		norms		norms	
Anpara 'A'	80	76.55	80	78.43	80	60.48	80	67.69	85	78.17
Anpara 'B'	80	88.66	80	83.39	80	78.49	80	88.15	85	71.61
Obra 'A'	56	39.26	58	25.25	61	21.50	65	21.74	65	19.50
Obra 'B'	66	38.69	68	43.62	71	39.81	75	38.92	75	35.33
Paricha 'A'	61	41.61	63	26.34	66	21.46	70	26.88	70	19.58
Paricha 'B'	80	68.45	80	65.78	80	69.81	80	68.97	85	67.46
Paricha					85	78.66	85	80.00	85	79.35
Extension										
Panki	61	56.08	62	53.17	63	47.79	65	39.61	65	53.38

Source: UPERC (Terms and Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations 2014, multi-year tariff and Information furnished by UPRVUNL.

Annexure 2.3.3
(Referred to in paragraph 2.3.22)
Statement showing auxiliary consumption in the TPSs of UPRVUNL

(in per cent)

Name of Project	2010-11		2011-12		2012-13		2013-14		2014-15	
	UPERC	Actual	UPERC	Actual	UPERC	Actual	UPERC	Actual	UPERC	Actual
	norms		norms		norms		norms		norms	
Anpara 'A'	8.50	10.06	8.50	9.91	8.50	10.81	8.50	10.27	8.50	10.16
Anpara 'B'	7.00	7.51	7.00	7.42	7.00	8.14	7.00	7.64	5.25	7.62
Obra 'A'	10.80	15.18	10.60	16.24	10.20	16.71	10.00	11.98	10.00	15.91
Obra 'B'	10.30	12.85	10.10	10.61	9.90	9.93	9.70	10.13	9.70	10.62
Panki	10.80	13.67	10.60	12.24	10.20	13.68	9.80	13.75	9.80	13.17
Parichha 'A'	11.30	17.96	11.10	21.71	10.90	20.19	10.70	17.12	10.70	18.05
Parichha 'B'	9.00	10.95	9.00	10.52	9.00	9.20	9.00	10.94	8.50	11.55
Parichha Extension	9.00		9.00		9.00		9.00	9.90	8.50	8.81

Source: UPERC (Terms and Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations 2014, multi-year tariff and Information furnished by UPRVUNL

Annexure 2.3.4
(Referred to in paragraph 2.3.28)
Statement showing auxiliary consumption in the HPSs of UPJVNL

(in per cent)

Name of Project	2010-11		2011-12		2012-13		2013-14		2014-15	
	UPERC	Actual	UPERC	Actual	UPERC	Actual	UPERC	Actual	UPERC	Actual
	norms		norms		norms		norms		norms	
Above 5 MW capacity										
Rihand	0.70/1	0.56	0.70/1	0.25	0.70/1	0.16	0.70/1	0.26	0.70/1	0.24
Obra (H)	0.70	0.21	0.70	0.14	0.70	0.13	0.70	0.10	0.70	0.07
Matatila	0.70	0.44	0.70	0.29	0.70	0.34	0.70	0.36	0.70	0.49
Khara	1.00	1.12	1.00	0.86	1.00	0.61	1.00	0.60	1.00	0.62
5 MW or less capacity										
Nirgagini, Chitora	0.70	0.80	0.70	1.00	0.70	0.93	0.70	0.18	0.70	1.02
and Salawa										
Bhola	0.70	2.50	0.70	1.68	0.70	3.10	0.70	1.86	0.70	1.27
UGC (Nirgagini,	0.70	0.93	0.70	1.08	0.70	1.22	0.70	0.41	0.70	1.05
Chitora&Salawa										
Belka	1.00	5.26	1.00	5.88	1.00	3.06	1.00	4.07	1.00	3.31
Babail	1.00	2.72	1.00	3.40	1.00	2.25	1.00	2.27	1.00	2.00
EYC(Belka&Babail)	1.00	3.49	1.00	3.97	1.00	2.54	1.00	2.79	1.00	2.56
Sheetla	0.70	1.72	0.70	1.50	0.70	2.11	0.70	3.05	0.70	2.50

Source: UPERC (Terms and Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations 2014, multi-year tariff and Information furnished by UPJVNL

Norm for Machine no. 1, 2 & 6 of Rihand is 0.70 per cent

Norm for Machine no. 3, 4, and 5 of Rihand is 1per cent

Annexure 2.4.1 (Referred to in paragraph 2.4.1) Statement showing the key roles of stakeholders

Name of stakeholder	Key roles									
Central Government	• to provide incentive for liquidity support to DISCOMs for accelerated									
	AT&C loss reduction and capital reimbursement support for 25 per cent of									
	principal repayment of SG bonds;									
	• to bring out model State Electricity Distribution Responsibility Bill									
	within twelve months from the approval of the scheme;									
State Government	• to approve the FRP and takeover the bonds issued by DISCOMs;									
	• to provide guarantee for loans/bonds to be raised/issued by DISCOMs;									
	• to provide support for payment of interest and repayment of principal									
	until taking over of bonds;									
	• to make payment against all outstanding dues of Government									
	partments as of 31 March 2012 to DISCOMs before 30 November 12.									
	2;									
	• to release outstanding subsidy as of 31 March 2012 to DISCOMs									
	before 31 March 2013 and to release further subsidy in advance;									
TIND OF MICCOLE										
UPPCL/DISCOMs	• to prepare FRP as per the guidelines of the scheme in consultation with									
	the participating lenders (Banks and Financial Institutions) and to get it									
	approved from SG and UPERC;									
	• to issue the bonds to banks and financial institutions;									
	• to comply with the mandatory and recommendatory conditions									
	envisaged in the scheme;									
	• to reduce transmission & distribution (T&D) losses and increase									
	collection efficiency to minimise aggregate technical and									
	commercial(AT&C) losses;									

Source: Scheme for financial restructuring formulated by MoP, GoI

Annexure 2.4.2 (Referred to in paragraph 2.4.9) Statement showing the financing of POLs as per prescribed ratio

	POLs as per	Financing amount (₹ in crore) and	Financing pattern (in per cent)
Year	FRP(₹ in crore)	Banks/FIs	State Government
2012-13	9899	9899.00 (100)	Not applicable
2013-14	7042	5281.50 (75)	1760.50 (25)
2014-15	6123	3061.50 (50)	3061.50 (50)
Total	23064	18242	4822

Source: Minutes of consortium of banks & FRP

Annexure 2.4.3 (Referred to in paragraph 2.4.9)

Statement showing the excess drawl of loans from banks/FIs and calculation of liability of interest on this portion

(₹ in crore)

Year	Projected operation	Th	ne main reaso	ns/componen	ts for overstate	ment of projected	d operational losse	s	Total overstateme	Excess drawl of loans from	Liability of
	al losses (POLs)	Excess inclusion of expenditure			Non/ short	nt of POLs (3+4+7+8)	banks/FIs ¹	interest on excess			
		interest on loans not admissible for inclusion in FRP	Non- inclusion of GoUP Support for interest payment	Revenue subsidy included in POLs	Revenue subsidy to be included in POLs	Short inclusion of revenue subsidy support (6-5)			drawl of loans		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
2012-13	9899	237.90	1149	4875	7860	2985	0	4134.00	4371.90	4371.90	
2013-14	7042	900.15	0	0 4961 8427 3466 535.17 ² 4001.17						3675.99	535.56 ³
2014-15	6123	1611.41	0	5417	8427	3010	3510.53	5121.94	2599.47 ⁵	985.87 ⁶	
	23064	2749.46	1149							10647.36	1521.43

Source: FRP and Information furnished by the Company

¹ Drawl of loans for funding operational losses from banks/FIs have been calculated as per diminishing scale worked out i.e. (2012-13= 100%, 2013-14 = 75% and 2014-15= 50%)

²GoUP support for projected operational losses was NIL, 25 per cent and 50 per cent during 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15 respectively as per FRP. The GoUP support for 2013-14 was calculated = [₹7042 crore- (₹900.15crore+ ₹3466 crore)] X 1/5 = ₹535.17crore

³The interest on excess loans (pertaining to 2012-13) drawn during 2013-14 = ₹4371.90crore X 12.25% = ₹535.56crore

 $^{^{4}}$ GoUP support for projected operational losses for the year 2014-15 = [₹ 6123 crore- (₹1611.41crore+ ₹3010 crore)] X 1/3 = ₹500.53crore.

⁵The Company had over drawn ₹38.50 crore against the allotted portion of banks/FIs against the projected operational loss for the year 2014-15. Therefore, the excess drawl = (₹5121.94crore X 50 %) + ₹38.50 crore = ₹2599.47crore

⁶The interest on excess loans (pertaining to 2012-13 and 2013-14) drawn during 2014-15 = (₹4371.90crore +₹3675.99crore) X 12.25% = ₹985.87crore

Annexure 2.4.4
(Referred to in paragraphs 2.4.14)
Statement showing the details of delay in finalisation of accounts

		2010-11		2011-12						
Name of the DISCOMs	Date of finalisation	Target date	Delay (in months)	Date of finalisation	Target date	Delay (in months)				
PuVVNL	08-03-2013	30-11-2012	3	23-05-2013	31-01-2013	3				
MVVNL	05-03-2013	30-11-2012	3	20-05-2013	31-01-2013	3				
PVVNL	04-03-2013	30-11-2012	3	14-05-2013	31-01-2013	3				
DVVNL	07-03-2013	30-11-2012	3	16-05-2013	31-01-2013	3				
KESCO	01-02-2013	30-11-2012	2	30-03-2013	31-01-2013	2				

Annexure 2.4.5
(Referred to in paragraph 2.4.16)
Statement showing the numbers of metered and unmetered Government and other consumers

Sl.	Name of DISCOMs		Gov	vernment consu	imers				Other consu	mers	
No.	Name of Discords	Category	Metered o	consumers	Unmetered	Consumers	Category	Metered	consumers	Unmetered	Consumers
			As on 31-03-2012	As on 31-03-2015	As on 31-03-2012	As on 31-03-2015		As on 31- 03-2012	As on 31- 03-2015	As on 31-03-2012	As on 31-03-2015
			No.	No.	No.	No.		No.	No.	No.	No.
1		LMV-3	80	245	1197	1164	LMV-1	1217081	1887109	615188	696630
	DVVNL	LMV-7	2735	6212	0	0	LMV-2	173516	206616	3556	3855
		LMV-8	1541	2317	4709	4093	LMV-5	63840	72942	117779	132076
	Sub Total (A)		4356	8774	5906	5257		1454437	2166667	736523	832561
2		LMV-3	150	142	5852	3057	LMV-1	1710205	2242692	831834	1208758
	MVVNL	LMV-7	1669	1815	0	0	LMV-2	240395	267774	21412	26165
		LMV-8	1059	458	8138	9247	LMV-5	6925	7963	126701	132748
	Sub Total (B)		2878	2415	13990	12304		1957525	2518429	979947	1367671
3		LMV-3	68	70	703	1289	LMV-1	1143824	1485275	1606791	2333334
	PuVVNL	LMV-7	2543	2741	0	0	LMV-2	232875	282117	59308	75913
		LMV-8	7	112	10416	10985	LMV-5	5124	5751	173949	213080
	Sub Total (C)		2618	2923	11119	12274		1381823	1773143	1840048	2622327
4		LMV-3	334	455	422	1072	LMV-1	1763518	2021538	1054591	1432788
	PVVNL	LMV-7	2490	2895	0	0	LMV-2	327328	352880	3896	5045
		LMV-8	161	308	4602	4755	LMV-5	4147	7322	347123	378784
	Sub Total (D)		2985	3658	5024	5827		2094993	2381740	1405610	1816617
5		LMV-3	0	0	18	18	LMV-1	461805	438484	0	0
	KESCO	LMV-7	634	526	0	0	LMV-2	94283	73262	0	0
		LMV-8	0	0	0	0	LMV-5	0	0	0	0
	Sub Total (E)		634	526	18	18		556088	511746	0	0
	Grand Total (A+B+C+D+E)		13471	18296	36057	35680		7444866	9351725	4962128	6639176

Source: Commercial Statements

Annexure 2.4.6
(Referred to in paragraphs 2.4.21)
Statement showing the summarised position of AT&C losses and ACS-ARR gap

Particulars	PuVVNL	MVVNL	PVVNL	DVVNL	KESCO
AT&C losses as per benchmark year	58.36	47.87	42.41	45.23	50.64
2010-11 (in <i>per cent</i>)					
AT&C losses in 2012-13 (in per cent)	57.22	47.55	39.36	46.03	45.36
AT&C losses in 2013-14 (in per cent)	49.71	40.52	34.94	41.17	47.20
ACS-ARR gap as per benchmark	1.95	1.41	0.81	1.86	1.28
year 2010-11(in ₹ per KWh)					
ACS-ARR gap in 2012-13(in ₹ per	3.07	2.95	1.88	3.75	2.75
KWh)					
ACS-ARR gap in 2013-14	4.22	4.05	2.52	4.34	2.99
~ *	4.22	4.03	2.32	4.34	2.33
(in ₹ per KWh)					

Source: Audited annual accounts and commercial statements of DISCOMs

Annexure 2.4.7
(Referred to in paragraph 2.4.21)
Statement showing the calculation of Aggregate technical &commercial (AT&C) losses¹ of the DISCOMs

Particulars		PuVVNL				MVVNL				PVVNL			
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	
Balance at the opening of the year (₹ in crore)	5782.94	6984.25	8197.65	9657.98	2249.98	2990.52	3767.17	4780.17	2770.81	3368.84	3977.37	4512.30	
Assessment during the year (₹ in crore)	3285.41	3506.29	4190.84	5204.30	2974.36	3178.11	3691.84	4658.75	5856.43	6549.36	7656.54	9469.43	
Realisation during the year (₹ in crore)	1927.44	2169.60	2543.68	3775.92	2261.28	2508.98	2717.55	4004.83	4853.10	5006.32	6816.60	8698.04	
Closing Balance at the end of the year (₹ in crore)	6984.25	8197.65	9657.98	9351.91	2990.52	3767.17	4780.17	4153.76	3368.84	3977.37	4512.30	4559.68	
Collection Efficiency (per cent)	58.67	61.88	60.70	72.55	76.03	78.95	73.61	85.96	82.87	76.44	89.03	91.85	

Particulars		PuV	VNL			MV	VNL			0619.56 23970.81 24971.73 2 979.95 1322.17 1298.2 3 4.75 5.52 5.2 4329.77 16024.72 17007.34 1 30.5 33.15 31.89 82.87 76.44 89.03 42.41 48.9 39.36 NA NA 3.05 NA NA 0		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Total Units purchased (MUs)	14710.82	16620.47	16912.96	18383.34	11490.81	13269.06	13867.59	15477.45	20619.56	23970.81	24971.73	26169.33
Transmission loss (MUs)	699.13	916.74	879.25	1454.43	546.1	731.89	720.93	1224.52	979.95	1322.17	1298.2	2070.43
Transmission loss (per cent)	4.75	5.52	5.2	7.91	4.75	5.52	5.2	7.91	4.75	5.52	5.2	7.91
Units sold by DISCOMs (MUs)	10442.18	11589.73	11919.92	12742.52	7878.3	9232.56	9880.78	10710.7	14329.77	16024.72	17007.34	18536.64
T&D Loss (per cent)	29.02	30.27	29.52	30.68	31.44	30.42	28.75	30.8	30.5	33.15	31.89	29.17
Collection efficiency (per cent)	58.67	61.88	60.70	72.55	76.03	78.95	73.61	85.96	82.87	76.44	89.03	91.85
AT&C Losses (per cent)	58.36	56.85	57.22	49.71	47.87	45.07	47.55	40.52	42.41	48.9	39.36	34.94
Reduction in AT&C losses against BMY 2010-11 (per cent)	NA	NA	1.14	8.65	NA	NA	0.32	7.35	NA	NA	3.05	7.47
Eligibility for LS ² against reduction in AT&C losses (in <i>per cent</i>)	NA	NA	0	5.65	NA	NA	0	4.35	NA	NA	0	4.47
ARR (₹/KWh)	3.08	2.95	3.41	3.89	3.18	3.45	3.7	4.20	4	4.01	4.32	4.82
Amount of Liquidity Support (₹ in crore)	NA	NA	0	404.04	NA	NA	0	282.77	NA	NA	0	563.83

Source: Audited Annual Accounts (contd...)

¹{1-(billing efficiency X collection efficiency)}X100

²AT&C loss reduction beyond three *per cent* in case of AT&C losses above 30 *per cent* was eligible for liquidity support as incentive from Central Government.

(contd....)

Particulars		DVV	NL		KESCO				
	2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2					2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	
Balance at the opening of the year (₹ in crore)	3505.56	4318.51	4859.08	5848.48	1894.81	2051.50	2098.66	2202.16	
Assessment during the year (₹ in crore)	3155.17	3502.14	4028.81	4922.17	911.65	999.16	1172.93	1632.37	
Realisation during the year (₹ in crore)	2292.73	2662.14	3160.26	4409.31	753.46	904.22	985.62	1353.30	
Closing Balance at the end of the year (₹ in crore)	4318.51	4859.08	5848.48	6339.19	2051.50	2098.66	2202.16	2279.18	
Collection Efficiency (per cent)	72.67	76.01	78.44	89.58	82.65	90.50	84.03	82.90	

Particulars		DVV	NL			KE	SCO	
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Total Units purchased (MUs)	15009.77	16988.65	18281.54	20020.59	3086.71	3269.28	3312.26	3859.76
Transmission loss (MUs)	713.34	937.05	950.4	1583.96	146.7	180.33	172.19	305.37
Transmission loss (per cent)	4.75	5.52	5.2	7.91	4.75	5.52	5.2	7.91
Units sold by DISCOMs (MUs)	11313.59	11334.95	12577.41	13148.05	1843.47	2070.72	2153.76	2458.09
T&D Loss (per cent)	24.63	33.28	31.2	34.33	40.28	36.66	34.98	36.31
Collection efficiency(per cent)	72.67	76.01	78.44	89.58	82.65	90.50	84.03	82.90
AT&C Losses(per cent)	45.23	49.29	46.03	41.17	50.64	42.68	45.36	47.2
Reduction in AT&C losses against BMY 2010-11(per cent)	NA	NA	-0.8	4.06	NA	NA	5.28	3.44
Eligibility for LS ¹ against reduction in AT&C losses (in <i>per cent</i>)	NA	NA	0	1.06	NA	NA	2.28	0.44
ARR (₹/KWh)	2.76	3.05	3.13	3.68	4.85	4.73	5.22	6.24
Amount of Liquidity Support (₹ in crore)	NA	NA	0	78.10	0	NA	39.42	10.60

¹AT&C loss reduction beyond three *per cent* in case of AT&C losses above 30 *per cent* was eligible for liquidity support as incentive from Central Government.

Annexure 2.4.8
(Referred to in paragraphs 2.4.12 and 2.4.21)
Statement showing the calculation of Average Cost of Supply (ACS) and Average Revenue Realised (ARR) and the gap between ACS and ARR

Particulars		PuV	VNL			MV	VNL			PVV	VNL	
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Power Purchase Cost (₹ in crore)	4394.07	6133.88	6067.16	8194.89	3432.26	4897.02	4974.7	6991.98	6158.98	8846.56	8958.06	11879.66
O&M and Other Expenses (₹ in crore)	575.05	583.35	703.71	657.47	535.03	623.37	809.07	718.95	378.12	434.04	686.04	520.30
Deprecation (₹ in crore)	108.64	121.5	131.55	212.99	108.53	120.54	113.43	129.82	189.04	117.2	116.34	137.33
Interest & Finance Charges (₹ in crore)	178.27	157.86	816.02	1268.29	160.46	140.67	674.33	994.05	166.64	180.59	784.22	1061.51
Total	5256.03	6996.59	7718	10334	4236	5782	6572	8835	6893	9578	10545	13599
Sales (MUs)	10442.18	11589.73	11919.92	12742.52	9232.56	9232.56	9880.78	10710.7	14329.77	16024.72	17007.34	18536.64
ACS (₹/KWh)	5.03	6.04	6.48	8.11	4.59	6.26	6.65	8.25	4.81	5.98	6.2	7.34
Revenue (₹ in crore)	3216.68	3422.01	4064.51	4960.65	2935.71	3186.97	3660.55	4495.74	5737.43	6423.64	7352.87	8926.72
Sales (MUs)	10442.18	11589.73	11919.917	12742.52	9232.56	9232.56	9880.78	10710.7	14329.77	16024.72	17007.34	18536.64
ARR (₹/KWh)	3.08	2.95	3.41	3.89	3.18	3.45	3.7	4.2	4	4.01	4.32	4.82
Gap between ACS and ARR (₹/KWh)	1.95	3.09	3.07	4.22	1.41	2.81	2.95	4.05	0.81	1.97	1.88	2.52
Increase in loss against 2010-11 (₹ in crore)	NA	NA	1615	3334	NA	NA	1610	3038	NA	NA	2036	3517
Percentage increase/decrease in gap against 2010-11	NA	NA	57.44	116.41	NA	NA	109.22	187.23	NA	NA	132.1	211.11
Percentage increase/decrease in gap against 2011-12	NA	NA	-0.65	36.57	NA	NA	4.98	44.13	NA	NA	-4.57	27.92

Source: Audited Annual Accounts

(contd....)

Doubles love		DVV	NL			KES	CO	
Particulars	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Power Purchase Cost(₹ in crore)	4483.36	6269.76	6558.1	8228.91	921.99	1206.54	1188.2	1738.14
O&M and Other Expenses(₹ in crore)	447.44	420.46	547.43	581.15	151.12	172.96	317.00	209.29
Deprecation(₹ in crore)	127.67	150.27	165.42	175.68	17.29	16.12	17.26	17.76
Interest & Finance Charges(₹ in crore)	169.04	162.52	1386.8	1559.37	39.58	11.22	194.79	302.44
Total	5,228	7,003	8,658	10,545	1,129.98	1,129.98	1,717	2,268
Sales (MUs)	11313.60	11334.95	12577.41	13148.05	1843.47	2070.72	2153.76	2458.09
ACS (₹/KWh)	4.62	6.18	6.88	8.02	6.13	5.46	7.97	9.23
Revenue(₹ in crore)	3117.07	3458.56	3932.46	4843.72	893.3	978.66	1123.76	1534.12
Sales (MUs)	11313.60	11334.95	12577.41	13148.05	1843.47	2070.72	2153.76	2458.09
ARR (₹/KWh)	2.76	3.05	3.13	3.68	4.85	4.73	5.22	6.24
Gap between ACS and ARR (₹/KWh)	1.86	3.13	3.75	4.34	1.28	0.73	2.75	2.99
Increase in loss against 2010-11 (₹ in crore)	NA	NA	2615	3591	NA	NA	357	497
Percentage increase/decrease in gap against 2010- 11	NA	NA	101.61	133.33	NA	NA	114.84	133.59
Percentageincrease/decrease in gap against 2011- 12	NA	NA	19.81	38.66	NA	NA	276.71	309.59

Annexure 2.4.9 (Referred to in paragraph 2.4.23) Statement showing the impact of FRP

Particulars	Amount (₹	Impact (+/-	
	As of 31 March 2012	As of 31 March 2014	per cent)
Accumulated losses	33600	60101.98	+ 78.87
Short term loans (STLn) as considered in FRP	16126.56	34081.44	+ 111.34
Total power purchase liabilities (PPLs)	19324.31	16297.09	- 15.67
Dues of Govt. Departments	1443.36	2280.83	+ 58.02
Outstanding Revenue Subsidy	10445.29	17052.73	+ 63.26

Annexure 2.5.1 (Referred to in paragraph 2.5.14)

Statement showing short assessment of revenue in case of provisional billing of domestic light, fan and power consumers during October 2011 to September 2014

(Amount in ₹)

Name of	No. of	Units	Units to	Units	Energy	Electricity	Total
Division	cases	Billed	be billed	Short	charge	Duty	Short
		(in	(in kWh)	billed (in	short	short	charge
		KWh)		KWh)	charged	charged	g
AalooMandi	450	27807	78588	50781	180793.65	6322.09	187115.74
Dada Nagar	215	13487	30760	17273	59061.05	2458.87	61519.92
DaheliSujanpur	2776	91063	358192	267129	812748.90	28504.34	841253.24
Electricity House	373	22717	59240	36523	130173.90	5203.90	135377.80
Govind Nagar	778	72343	135088	62745	230647.25	9386.15	240033.40
Gumti	5	509	1280	771	3181.10	159.06	3340.16
Harris Ganj	221	17938	37120	19182	72187.65	3369.42	75557.07
Jajmau	89	7768	16240	8472	32260.15	1493.03	33753.18
Kalyanpur	424	34724	78720	43996	174984.25	8471.89	183456.14
Kidwai Nagar	169	12182	27880	15698	60153.75	2827.51	62981.26
Naubasta	1737	138369	269744	131375	461274.65	19682.05	480956.70
Nawabganj	469	44699	93503	48804	184700.40	7355.80	192056.20
PhoolBagh	736	58525	133101	74576	272468.95	10032.39	282501.34
Ratanpur	90	4269	15400	11131	43757.75	1887.83	45645.58
Sarvodaya Nagar	996	94324	170920	76596	271049.30	10306.55	281355.85
Vikas Nagar	246	17396	40400	23004	87827.35	4080.88	91908.23
Worldbank Barra	624	45784	100280	54496	194997.85	7398.42	202396.27
ZareebChouki	482	41757	79199	37442	135174.60	5276.17	140450.77
Total	10880	745661	1725655	979994	3407442.50	134216.35	3541658.85

Annexure2.5.2 (Referred to in paragraph 2.5.15)

Statement showing short levy of fixed charges from small and medium power consumers during October 2011 to September 2014

(Amount in ₹)

Sl.	Name of Division	No. of	Demand	Fixed	Fixed charges	Short recovery
No.		cases	Charges levied	charges	to be levied	of fixed charges
				levied		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	7= {(4+5)-6}
1.	AalooMandi	1984	7799530.50	41092.83	8838565.00	997941.67
2.	Dada Nagar	15050	81766350.01	2043.00	92717560.00	10949166.99
3.	DaheliSujanpur	312	959571.00	460.00	1064965.00	104934.00
4.	Electricity House	631	2023467.39	21137.00	2325525.00	280920.61
5.	Govind Nagar	1056	3334856.40	91273.50	3866815.00	440685.10
6.	Gumti	5751	26303377.50	41763.00	29591675.00	3246534.50
7.	Harris Ganj	1383	5306233.50	1342.50	6057560.00	749984.00
8.	Jajmau	7722	38485737.00	17915.83	44710325.00	6206672.17
9.	Kalyanpur	315	778468.50	17388.00	898135.00	102278.50
10.	Kidwai Nagar	1193	4406575.50	0.00	5052910.00	646334.50
11.	Naubasta	833	2852834.50	54613.50	3239598.50	332150.50
12.	Nawabganj	312	991377.00	47135.00	1183550.00	145038.00
13.	PhoolBagh	1201	2718918.00	6210.00	3086285.00	361157.00
14.	Ratanpur	183	546061.50	37867.50	606637.50	22708.50
15.	Sarvodaya Nagar	870	1605892.50	245816.00	2080621.00	228912.50
16.	Vikas Nagar	183	694147.50	5842.00	771055.00	71065.50
17.	Worldbank Barra	272	815485.50	22252.50	944600.00	106862.00
18.	ZareebChouki	2946	11330919.00	35880.00	12973740.00	1606941.00
	Total	42197	192719802.80	690032.16	220010122.00	26600287.04

Annexure 2.5.3 (Referred to in paragraph 2.5.16)

Statement showing excess levy of fixed and energy charges in case of domestic light, fan and power consumers getting supply at single point for bulk load during October 2011 to September 2012

(Amount in ₹)

Sl.No.	Name of Division	No. of cases	Fixed charge billed	Energy Charge billed	Electricityduty Billed	Fixed charge to be	Energy Charge to be billed	Electricityduty to be Billed	Total Excess billing
						billed			
1.	DaheliSujanpur	8	44720.00	3391.90	392.38	27520.00	3110.40	87.48	17786.40
2.	Electricity	19							
	House		68900.00	872248.20	21739.51	42400.00	735644.80	20690.01	164152.90
3.	Gumti	9	29250.00	138298.00	3759.40	18000.00	116992.00	3290.40	33025.00
4.	Harris Ganj	6	21840.00	101724.00	3045.70	13440.00	86016.00	2419.20	24734.50
5.	Naubasta	2	6500.00	30260.00	720.00	4000.00	25600.00	720.00	7160.00
6.	Ratanpur	5	27300.00	119574.19	2840.31	16800.00	100988.80	2840.31	29085.39
7.	Vikas Nagar	3	10400.00	8226.00	199.80	6400.00	7104.00	199.80	5122.00
8.	Worldbank	13							
	Barra		42250.00	196690.00	4320.00	26000.00	166400.00	4680.00	46180.00
	Total	65	251160.00	1470412.29	37017.10	154560.00	1241856.00	34927.20	327246.19

Annexure 2.5.4
(Referred to in paragraph 2.5.17)
Statement showing duplicate meter numbers shown installed at consumer's premises as on 30 September 2014

Name of the Division	Total number of	Meters				
	live consumers	Duplicate	No. of premises	Range		
		Meters				
AalooMandi	17391	1150	2403	2-5		
Dada Nagar	7849	301	614	2-3		
DaheliSujanpur	40632	8094	20729	2-10		
Electricity House	24349	3938	8911	2-7		
Govind Nagar	48915	3296	7082	2-8		
Gumti	14271	476	1005	2-7		
Harris Ganj	33633	1931	4083	2-10		
Jajmau	22920	2278	4845	2-6		
Kalyanpur	35021	5747	13954	2-43		
Kidwai Nagar	28447	5065	11843	2-10		
Naubasta	46330	8368	23935	2-102		
Nawabganj	22579	2050	4350	2-6		
PhoolBagh	34351	7520	18826	2-11		
Ratanpur	24069	2551	5468	2-6		
Sarvodaya Nagar	26836	3979	9069	2-8		
Vikas Nagar	15160	934	2045	2-9		
Worldbank Barra	27405	2103	4445	2-9		
ZareebChouki	26674	1377	2872	2-5		
Total	496832	61158	146479			

Annexure 2.5.5 (Referred to in paragraph 2.5.18)

Statement showing division wise cases where due date was allowed either less than seven days or more than seven days from bill date during October 2011 to September 2014

Name of the division	Cases where 1 to 6 days allowed from	Cases where more than 7 days allowed from bill date		
	bill date	No of cases	Range of days	
AalooMandi	80	47	8-27	
Dada nagar	150	38	8-24	
DaheliSujanpur	270	32	9-28	
Electricity House	522	5	8-24	
Govind Nagar	505	56	8-23	
Gumti	188	109	8-26	
Harris Ganj	199	66	8-28	
Jajmau	91	6	8-26	
Kalyanpur	118	56	8-28	
Kidwai Nagar	132	128	8-27	
Naubasta	390	39	9-27	
Nawabganj	124	42	8-26	
PhoolBagh	214	183	8-28	
Ratanpur	367	89	8-28	
Sarvodaya Nagar	328	16	8-23	
Viks Nagar	50	4	8-25	
Worldbank Barra	139	1	14	
ZareebChouki	418	73	8-27	
Total	4285	990		

Annexure 2.5.6 (Referred to in paragraph 2.5.19)

Statement showing excessive monthly consumption of energy by the consumers having contracted load of 1 KW during October 2011 to September 2014 as per billing databank

Sl. No.	Name of Division	No. of cases	Range of consumption (in KWh)
1.	AalooMandi	70	722-13057
2.	Dada Nagar	26	758-4342
3.	DaheliSujanpur	397	721-10166
4.	Electricity House	222	871-15003
5.	Govind Nagar	290	725-96069
6.	Gumti	20	728-2094
7.	Harris Ganj	89	724-1000035
8.	Jajmau	127	721-72476
9.	Kalyanpur	91	730-83424
10.	Kidwai Nagar	93	721-12851
11.	NawabGanj	540	721-28100
12.	Naubasta	113	722-13166
13.	PhoolBagh	39	764-80060
14.	Ratanpur	86	723-9601
15.	Sarvodaya Nagar	87	724-10065
16.	Vikas Nagar	118	725-7092
17.	Worldbank Barra	117	1022-7892
18.	ZareebChouki	332	722-72187
	Total	2857	

Annexure 2.5.7 (Referred to in paragraph 2.5.22)

Statement showing division wise number of consumers without security deposit as on 30 September 2014

(Amount in $\mathbf{\xi}$)

Sl. No.	Name of Division	No. of consumers	Security Amount	Security Amount to
			deposited	be deposited
1	AalooMandi	2823	0	6392750.00
2	Dada nagar	1424	0	17718250.00
3	DaheliSujanpur	4818	0	5442550.00
4	Electricity House	4834	0	11196500.00
5	Govind Nagar	11441	0	14170600.00
6	Gumti	3124	0	8337950.00
7	Harris Ganj	7939	0	10980759.00
8	Jajmau	3882	0	11733300.00
9	Kalyanpur	2993	0	4179550.00
10	Kidwai Nagar	7065	0	10675300.00
11	Naubasta	5897	0	8906050.00
12	Nawabganj	6320	0	10943200.00
13	PhoolBagh	7132	0	14142400.00
14	Ratanpur	3508	0	3980100.00
15	Sarvodaya Nagar	3236	0	5079050.00
16	Viks Nagar	2309	0	3819650.00
17	Worldbank Barra	1342	0	3928040.00
18	ZareebChouki	8233	0	13745740.00
	Total	88320		165371739.00

Annexure 2.6.1 (Referred to in paragraph 2.6.1)

Statement showing project wise details of original sanctioned cost, revised sanctioned cost, funds released and expenditure incurred as on 31 March 2015

(₹ in crore)

	(₹ in crore)							
Sl. No.	Name of the project	Original sanctioned cost	Revised sanctioned cost	Funds released to Director, Local bodies (State Level Nodal Agency)	Amount received by Nigam	Expenditure incurred		
1.	Kanpur Water Supply Project, Phase-I	270.95	393.93	377.99	377.99	349.80		
2.	Kanpur Water Supply Project, Phase – II	377.79	475.15	475.15	475.15	367.13		
3.	Lucknow Water Supply Project, Phase-I, Part-I	388.61	454.66	454.66	454.66	416.05		
4.	Lucknow Water Supply Project, Phase-I, Part-II	146.57	186.89	186.89	186.89	160.24		
5.	Varanasi Water Supply Project, Priority-I, Phase-I	111.02	139.79	131.16	111.02	108.91		
6.	Varanasi Water Supply Project, Priority-I, Phase-II	86.10	110.51	110.51	110.51	71.06		
7.	Varanasi Water Supply Project, Priority-II	209.16	268.36	156.87	155.37	141.90		
8.	Meerut Water Supply Project	273.01	341.30	341.30	327.64	295.50		
9.	Allahabad Water Supply Project, Part-I	89.69	95.05	95.05	95.05	90.81		
10.	Allahabad Water Supply Project, Part-II	162.34	181.10	159.22	159.15	146.62		
11.	Agra Water Supply Project	82.71	102.99	102.99	102.99	92.48		
	Total	2197.95	2749.73	2591.79	2556.42	2240.50		

Source: Information furnished by the Nigam

Annexure 2.6.2 (Referred to in paragraph 2.6.1) Statement showing physical progress of projects as of March 2015

Q.	37 0.7	D	D . 0		G:	51.
Sl.	Name of the project	Date of	Date of	Scheduled	Status of	Delay in
No.		original	revised	date of	implementation	completion
		sanction	sanction	completion	of project	(in months)
1.	Kanpur Water Supply Project - Phase-I	26-10-2007	30-05-2014	26-10-2010	Incomplete	53
2.	Kanpur Water Supply Project – Phase-II	22-01-2009	28-12-2011	22-01-2011	Incomplete	50
3.	Lucknow Water Supply Project - Phase-I, Part-I	07-09-2007	07-12-2011	07-09-2010	Incomplete	54
4.	Lucknow Water Supply Project - Phase-I, Part-II	20-02-2009	25-03-2014	20-02-2011	Incomplete	49
5.	Varanasi Water Supply Project - Priority-1, Phase-I	06-08-2007	05-01-2015	06-08-2010	Incomplete	55
6.	Varanasi Water Supply Project – Priority I, Phase-II	30-10-2008	04-03-2014	30-10-2010	Incomplete	53
7.	Varanasi Water Supply Project – Priority-II	29-09-2009	30-03-2015	29-03-2012	Incomplete	36
8.	Meerut Water Supply Project	11-01-2008	16-12-2011	11-01-2011	Incomplete	50
9.	Allahabad Water Supply Project, Part-I	06-08-2007	18-10-2014	06-08-2010	Incomplete	55
10.	Allahabad Water Supply Project, Part-II	29-12-2008	15-03-2015	29-06-2011	Incomplete	45
11.	Agra Water Supply Project	22-02-2008	25-03-2014	22-04-2010	Incomplete	59

Source: Information furnished by the Nigam